

Utility Committee Meeting

AGENDA

February 2, 2016

I. CALL TO ORDER

II. MATTERS BEFORE COMMITTEE

- 1. <u>Discussion Sanitary Survey Results</u>
- 2. Approval Out of State Travel for SGA Conference

III. ADJOURN



Utility Committee Meeting

AGENDA

February 2, 2016

| m: |
|--|
| scussion - Sanitary Survey Results partment: |
| ditional Information: |
| nancial Impact: |
| dgeted Item: |
| commendation / Request: |
| |

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. Click here to download.

Attachments / click to download

□ Survey Results

Georgia Department of Natural Resources

Reply To:
Drinking Water Permitting and Engineering Program
2 Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive, S.E., Suite 1362
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Environmental Protection Division • Watershed Protection Branch 2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive • Suite 1152 East • Atlanta • Georgia 30334 (404) 463-1511; Fax (404) 656-2453 Judson H. Turner, Director

January 12, 2016

RE: Sanitary Survey Monroe

WSID # GA 2970001

Mr. Rodney Middlebrooks Director of Water & Gas City of Monroe 205 E. Marable Street

Monroe

. GA 30655

Dear Mr. Middlebrooks

On September 21, 2015 an inspection was conducted of the referenced water system. This system is subject to permit.

2970001, the Georgia Safe Drinking Water Act of 1977, and the Georgia Department of Natural Resources Rules Rules for Safe Drinking Water, Chapter 391-3-5. The purpose of this sanitary survey is to evaluate 1) the capability of the water system to consistently and reliably deliver an adequate quality and and quantity of safe drinking water to the consumer, and 2) the system's compliance with the required drinking water regulations and operational requirements. A score is then assigned to each of the ten components evaluated in the inspection. This score will be used for the purpose of tracking the water system's progress and determining the time frame for a follow-up inspection. The system's overall performance is based on the average of all scores assigned to the applicable components.

The Monroe

's overall score is <u>95.7%</u>, which is in the category of

"Outstanding Performance".

The findings from the inspection were documented and are summarized on the enclosed "Sanitary Survey." The items that must be addressed are listed under "Deficiencies Noted during the Sanitary Survey." The Water system must respond to these deficiencies within <u>45</u> days from the date of this letter.

It is the objective of the Rules for Safe Drinking Water to provide safeguards for public water supplies for the customer. Please respond, in writing, within the forty-five (45) day period of the measures that will be taken to correct the deficiencies noted in the sanitary survey. Should you have any questions, please contact me at (404) 651.5163.

Sincerely

R. Wayne Jackson

Environmental Compliance Specialist

Watershed Compliance Program

(404) 651.5163 - office

(404) 861.8563 - cell

(404) 651.9590 - fax

GEORGIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION

WATERSHED COMPLIANCE PROGRAM

SANITARY SURVEY

| SYSTEM NAM | 1E: | Monroe | |
|------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------|
| WSID No.: | GA <u>2970001</u> | COUNTY: | Walton |
| NAME(S) OF | SURVEY INSPECTOR(| S): Wayne Jackson | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | M-14 | |
| | SYSTEM OFFICIALS | Rodney Middlebrooks | |
| PRESENT DU | RING SURVEY: | Doug Samples | |
| | | Ron Hill | |

GEORGIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION

WATERSHED COMPLIANCE PROGRAM

SANITARY SURVEY REPORT SUMMARY

(OVERALL GENERAL RATINGS)

| PART A - SYS | FEM EVALUATION | | | | POINTS | Total Section |
|---------------|---|-----------------|-------|------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | SAT | UNSAT | N/A | Earned / Available | Points |
| Section I. | Administration | 19.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | <u>19.0</u> / <u>23.0</u> | 23.0 |
| Section II. | Source | 194.0 | 22.0 | 84.0 | 194.0 / 216.0 | 300.0 |
| Section III. | Treatment | 216.0 | 7.0 | 55.0 | 216.0 / 223.0 | 278.0 |
| Section IV. | Distribution | 150.0 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 150.0 / 150.0 | 161.0 |
| Section V. | Storage | 138.0 | 2.0 | 20.0 | 138.0 / 140.0 | 160.0 |
| Section VI. | Pumps/Pump Facilities/Controls | 59.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 59.0 / 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Section VII. | Monitoring Reports/Records | 20.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | / | 21.0 |
| Section VIII. | System Management/Operations | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 / 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Section IX | Operator Compliance and Permit Requirements | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 / 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Section X. | Emergency Plan/Security/Safety Total Points | 19.5 : 855.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 19.5 / 20.5 855.5 893.5 | 20.5 1063.5 |

AVERAGE POINTS:

Earned Available Score 855.5 / 893.5 = **95.7%**

*Available points are obtained by subtracting the non-applicable points (N/A) from the Total Section Points

PART B - SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Follow-up letter sent: Follow-up inspection scheduled:

Yes No No No

Note: ≥ 90 - 100 : Outstanding Performance

≥ 75 - 89 : Satisfactory Performance ≥ 60 - 74 : Concerned Performance

≤ 59 : Unsatisfactory Performance

Item #1

- 1. The system has a split withdrawal permit: 1) Alcovy River 10 MGD, 2) John T. Briscoe Reservoir 16 MGD with a 12 MGD monthly average and 10 MGD annual average restriction.
- 2. The low water levels and lowest intake elevations are as follows: 1) Alcovy River 709 ' MSL and 706 ' MSL 2) John T. Briscoe Reservoir 759' MSL and 754' MSL.
- 3 . Section III, Items 10 and 11 deal with filtration systems. Neither of those sections address the operation of membrane filter treatment systems. The component of the score for the survey accounted for by those sections are not applicable therefore will not impact the final evaluation. Regarding the operation of the filtration system, review of the operational records and observation of the operation while on-site indicates the filters are operating within design standards. It should be noted that the recently-issued Permit to Operate does not include details regarding the water plant. The evaluation was made under the assumption the parameters would remain the same when the new permit is reissued. The plant management has been instructed to contact the EPD Drinking Water Permitting and Engineering group to correct this omission.

DEFICIENCIES NOTED DURING THE SANITARY SURVEY

- 1 . Retain the services of additional certified distribution operators in order to meet the demands of the system.
- 2 . Determine a method to reduce aquatic growth in the presedimentation basins.
- 3 . Number or label all pumps (raw water, high service, feed, boosters, etc.)
- 4 . Clean the waste lagoons.
- 5 . Label the phosphate storage tank.
- 6 . Label the pre and post lime pumps.

RECOMMENDATIONS MADE AS A RESULT OF THE SANITARY SURVEY

1.

PART A

SYSTEM EVALUATION

Section I

Administration

| NAME of the OPE | RATOR-IN-RESPONSIBLE CH | IARGE: | Rodney Middlebrooks |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Certification Clas | | Expiration Date: | 30-Jun-17 |
| Phone Number: | 770 266.5402 | Fax Number: | |
| Mailing Address: | 205 E. Marable Street | | |
| | Monroe, GA 30655 | - | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | m have at least one (1) operator wh equired by the Rules at each shift of | | 1.0 Y N _1.0 N/A |
| • | m have at least two (2) operators w equired by the Rules at each shift of | | 1.0 Y N <u>1.0 N</u> /A |
| 3 . Does the system | m have at least one (1) certified op | erator at each shift? | 2.0 Y <u>2.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 4 . Does the system | m have at least two (2) certified ope | erators at each shift? | 1.0 Y N _1.0 N/A |
| | fication of the operator in-responsit and the Rules for Safe Drinking Wa | | 2.0 Y <u>2.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| - | m have an adequate number of wat complexity of the treatment proces | · · | 2.0 Y <u>2.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| • | <u> </u> | em Operators(s)? quate based on the size and complexity | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A 1.0 N 1.0 N/A |
| 8 . Does the system | n have a "Standard Operating Proc | edure" (SOP) manual? (verify) | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| Do all the opera | ators follow the established procedu | res? | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 9 . Does the syster | n have a "Minimum Construction S | tandards" manual? (verify) | 1.0 Y <u>1.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| Are the constru- system projects | | followed during the construction of wate | er 1.0 Y <u>1.0 N 0.0 N/A</u> |
| | red operators knowledgeable of the | ese standards | 1.0 Y <u>1.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 10 . Does the syster | n have a "Business Plan"? (verify) | | 2.0 Y <u>2.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| I1 . Does the syster | n have an "Organization Chart"? (v | rerify) | 1.0 Y <u>1.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| I2 . Is the "Permit to plant? | Operate a Public Water System" p | osted at the treatment | 1.0 Y <u>1.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| • | red operators familiar with the pern | nit conditions? | 1.0 Y <u>1.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| | posted at the water treatment plant red operators familiar with the NPD | | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| | | | Septe P(s# 1 23.0 Earned Points 19.0 |

Names of Water Plant Operators and Certification Classifications

| Name | Title | Class | Expiration |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------|------------|
| Rodney Middlebrooks | Director of Water & Gas | 1 | 30-Jun-17 |
| Cathy Halgat | Water Lab Analyste | 1 | 30-Jun-17 |
| Donald Cowan | P:lant Advisor | 1 | 30-Jun-17 |
| David Biggs | Operator | 1 | 30-Jun-17 |
| Douglas Samples | Plant Supervisor | 2 | 30-Jun-17 |
| Time Rice | Operator | 2 | 30-Jun-17 |
| Nick Chambers | Operator | 2 | 30-Jun-17 |
| Shawn Muse | Operator | 3 | 30-Jun-17 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| - | | | |
| t . | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Section I | ľ | |
|-----------|---|--|
|-----------|---|--|

Source

| NAME of WATER SOURCE(S): | (1) Alcovy River | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| | (2) John T. Briscoe Reservoir (Beav | ver Dam Creek) | |
| | (3) Jacks Creek (emergency | source | |
| 1 . Watershed Management Pro | ogram | | |
| U | • | | |
| | | | |
| 1 . Is the entire watershed for the so | ource protected? | 4.0 Y N 4.0 | N/A |
| Are efforts being made to purcha | se all land within the watershed? | 1.0 Y N 1.0 | N/A |
| Are the critical elements of the wa | atershed protected or purchased? | 4.0 Y N 4.0 | N/A |
| | | | |
| 2 . Does the system have an agreen | nent with landowners concerning land use? | 1.0 Y N 1.0 | N/A |
| | acquire control of land use within the watershed? | 1.0 Y N 1.0 | |
| • | · | | |
| 3 . Are all activities with the watershe | ed identified and located? | 3.0 Y 3.0 N 0.0 | N/A |
| Have there been changes since t | | 2.0 Y N 2.0 | N/A |
| | ,, | | |
| 4 . List practices used to mitigate crit | tical activities within the watershed that may | | |
| | SWAP, state-mandated buffers, limited use of reservoir | | |
| Are these practices monitored? | | - 4.0 Y 4.0 N 0.0 | N/A |
| The mose preshood mornered. | | 7.0 1 14 | |
| Should there be any changes to t | ha avieting practices? | V M W | |
| Should there be any changes to t | ne existing practices: | Y N | - |
| | | Sub. Pnts Avail.: | 20.0 |
| | | | <u>20.0</u> 7.0 |
| | | Sub. Pnts. Earned | <u> 7.0</u> |
| | | | |
| 2 Wallhard Bratastian Branch | _ | | |
| 2 . Wellhead Protection Program | n | | |
| | | | |
| 1 . Is the Wellhead Protection Area (| WHPA) delineated? | 5.0 Y N 0.0 | N/A 5.0 |
| Are all the potential sources of co | ntaminants identified & located? | 4.0 Y N 0.0 | N/A 4.0 |
| Did the system develop and imple | ement a strategy to manage the WHPA? | 4.0 Y N 0.0 | N/A 4.0 |
| Are all sources protected from cor | ntamination? | 4.0 Y N 0.0 | N/A 4.0 |
| | ad Protection Program" developed by | 3.0 Y N 0.0 | N/A 3.0 |
| EPD/GSB? | - , , | | |
| | | Sub. Pnts Avail.: | 20.0 |
| | | Sub. Pnts. Earned: | |
| | | | |
| 3 . Source Water Vulnerability - | Surface Water | | |
| <u> </u> | - 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11 | | |
| Hydrogeologic sensitivity of the | SOURCE | | |
| Try alogo or other try of the | . 504100 | | |
| 1 . Are intake(s) located in turbid water | er or pear the chare?(undecired) | V V M | |
| Are intake(s) located away from the | · | 5.0 Y N 5.0 | NUA |
| Are intake(s) located away from th | le shore in clear water? | 3.0 f N S.0 | N/A |
| O la contra la disconfact from atomosto con atom | and the stands | | |
| 2 . Is water being fed from steep slop | | Y N | |
| Is water being fed from shallow slo | opes? | 5.0 Y <u>5.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> | N/A |
| | | | |
| Is water being fed from land with n | _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Y N | |
| Is water being fed from land with t | hick vegetation? | 5.0 Y 5.0 N 0.0 | N/A |
| | | | |
| 4 . Is water being fed from paved surf | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Y N | |
| Is water being fed from highly perr | meable top soils? | 5.0 Y 5.0 N 0.0 | N/A |
| | | | |
| | | Sub. Pnts Avail,: ¸ | 20.0 |
| | | Sub. Pntslteam#d: | 15.0 |

| 4 | Source | Water | Vulnerability - | GWDUI |
|---|--------|-------|-----------------|--------------|
| | | | | |

| 1 | . Is the Aquifer shallow or close to the surface?(undesired) Is the Aquifer deep and further beneath the surface? | 5.0 | Y | _ N _ N | 0.0 | N/A 5.0 |
|-----|--|------------|----------------|------------|---------------------|-----------|
| 2 | . Is the Aquifer overlain by thin unsaturated zone(s)?(undesired) Is the Aquifer overlain by thick zones(s)? | 5.0 | Y | _ N _ N | 0.0 | N/A 5.0 |
| 3 | . Is the Aquifer overlain by unconfined layers?(undesired) Is the Aquifer overlain by thick confining layer(s)? | 5.0 | Y | _ N | 0.0 | N/A _ 5.0 |
| 4 | . Does the Aquifer have conduits/sinkholes into or near saturated zones?(undesired) Aquifer(s) have no conduits into or near saturated zones. | 5.0 | Y | N N | 0.0 | N/A 5.0 |
| | | | | | Avail.: Earned: | 20.0 |
| 5 | . Integrity of the Source Water Structures | | | | | |
| 1 | . Does the infrastructure design meet current EPD Rules & Standards? Is the infrastructure design appropriate for permitted pumping rate? | 4.0 4.0 | Y 4.0 Y 4.0 | N | 0.0 | N/A |
| 2 | . Does the system have a regular maintenance schedule in place? Is the maintenance schedule appropriate for the design & construction? | 4.0 4.0 | Y 4.0 Y 4.0 | N | 0.0 | |
| 3 | . Has the infrastructure been operating reliably? If not, explain | 4.0 | Y4.0 | . N | | N/A |
| 6 | . Are Potential Sources of Contamination (PSC) Identified and Managed? | _ | | | Avail.: ≣arned: | 20.0 |
| 1 | . Are PSC's located close to drinking water wells or intakes? | 4.0 | N <u>4.0</u> | Y | | N/A |
| 2 . | Are there physical barriers to contaminant release? | 4.0 | Υ | N | 4.0 | N/A |
| 3 . | Are the standard operating practices designed to prevent contaminant release? | 2.0 | Y 2.0 | N | | N/A |
| 4 . | Are there contingency plans for accidental release? Are operations personnel familiar with these plans? | | 7 5.0 7 5.0 | N N | | N/A |
| | | | | | Avail.: Earned: | 20.0 |
| 7. | Source Water Quality | | | | | |
| 1. | Is the source water quality monitored by the system? What water quality parameters are being monitored? (list) pH, alkalinity, Fe, Mn, temperature, turbidity, TOC | 4.0 | 4.0 | N | | N/A |
| 2. | Is there an emergency spill response plan for events that may effect water quality? | 2.0 | 7 | N | | N/A |
| 3. | Is the area around the intake restricted? (no access) | 4.0 | 4.0_ | N Ite | <u>o.o</u> m # 1 | N/A |

| 4 | . Are there any sources of pollution at or near the intake? | | 4.0 | N 4.0 | Y <u>0.0</u> | _ N/A |
|-----|--|------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| • | What is the system doing to mitigate the sources of pollution? (explain |) - | | | | |
| 5 | . Have there been any significant fluctuations in water quality? What are the main causes of water quality fluctuations? (list) | | 2.0 | N <u>2.0</u> | Y <u>0.0</u> | _ N/A |
| ٠ | Did the system make improvements to mitigate future fluctuations? Are the improvements performing satisfactorily? Are any future improvements needed? | - | 2.0 2.0 | | N <u>0.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N | N/A 2.0 N/A 2.0 |
| 8 | Source Water Quantity | | | | Pnts Avail.: nts. Earned | |
| 1 . | What is the water quantity required to meet the peak demand of the wa | ater system? | | 2.8 | MGD | |
| 2 . | What is the available water quantity of the source? | | | see con | nment #1 | _MG |
| 3 . | Is the source adequate to meet the current and future expected needs system, even during the times of drought? | of the | 4.0 | Y <u>4.0</u> | N <u>0.0</u> | _ N/A |
| 4 . | Are other sources being investigated to meet the needs? (list) | | 2.0 | Υ | 2.0 N <u>2.0</u> | _ N/A |
| 5 . | Has the system developed a written water conservation plan? Has the system implemented this water conservation plan as needed? | | 2.0 4.0 | Y <u>2.0</u> Y <u>4.0</u> | N <u>0.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> | N/A |
| 6. | Does the system have a meter to monitor production? Does the system measure usage by consumers | | 4.0 4.0 | Y 4.0 Y 4.0 | N 0.0 N 0.0 | N/A |
| 9. | Location of Source Facilities | | | | nts Avail.: its. Earned | <u>20.0</u> 18.0 |
| | Can the source facility be flooded? | | 10.0 | N 10.0 | V 00 | N/A |
| | - | | | | Y 0.0 | • — |
| 2. | Has the source facility ever been flooded? If yes, was he operation of the source facility impaired? If no, what is the access to the source facility during a flood?(explain) | | 10.0 | N <u>10.0</u> Y | Y <u>0.0</u> | . N/A |
| 3. | What measures have been taken to prevent contamination of the raw w source facility during a flood event?(explain) | vater at the | | | | |
| | | | | | nts Avail.: ts. Earned: | 20.0 |
| 0. | Capacity of Source Facilities | | | | | |
| 1. | What is the design capacity of the source water facility? What is the historical maximum daily demand of the system? What is the raw water storage capacity of the system? | 7.2 MGD 2.8 MGD 878 MG | | | | |
| | Given service connections or population, are they reasonable? | | 5.0 | Y 5.0 | Ntemo.# | I _{N/A} |
| | Section II - Source Page 11 of 3 | 35 | San | itary Survey | -Monroe 201 | 15 |

Attachment number 1 \nPage

If so, what is being done to protect the source water? (explain):

Item #1

| ` | rainfa | <u>[</u> | | | | | | |
|------|--|------------|-----|---------------|------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| | Is the system taking any steps to minimize the impact? (explain): | | | | | , | | |
| 3 | . Can a unit be taken out of service for maintenance and the facility remain operational? | - 4.0 |) \ | / <u>4.0</u> | _ N | 0.0 | _ N/A | \ |
| | If so, explain: multiple pumps of adequate capacity | <u>/</u> | | | | | | |
| 4 | . Can water be withdrawn during a prolonged drought? (Adjustable intake depth) What is the minimum projected water level What is the level of the lowest withdrawal point? | 4.0 |) C | 4.0 commer | | | _ NIA | . |
| | | | | | | Avail. Earne | | 20.0 |
| 13 . | Design of Source Facilities - <u>Springs</u> | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Is the spring area protected from contact with animals and from vandalism? | 4.0 | Y | | _ N | 0.0 | _ N/A | 4.0 |
| 2 . | Is the spring box watertight with a lockable, overlapping lid or cover? | 2.0 | | | N | 0.0 | _ N/A | 2.0 |
| | Does the spring box have a screened overflow? | 2.0 | | | - N | 0.0 | _ N/A | |
| | Is there a drain with a screen and shutoff valve? Is the supply intake located at least six (6) inches above the bottom and screened? | 2.0 2.0 | | | _ N _ N | 0.0 | _ N/A _ N/A _ | 2.0 |
| 3. | Is there a diversion ditch around the upper end of the spring area? | 2.0 | Υ | | N | 0.0 | _ N/A | 2.0 |
| | Is there an impervious barrier over he spring area to keep out rainwater and surface contamination? | 2.0 | Υ | | - N | 0.0 | _ N/A | 2.0 |
| 4 . | Does the spring in general comply with EPD Rules and Minimum Standards? | 4.0 | Y | | _ N | | _ N/A | 4.0 |
| 5 | Spring Descriptions:(see attached sheets) | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | Avail.: Earned | <u>:</u> | 20.0 |
| 4. | Condition of Source Facilities | | | | | | | |
| 1. | How often is the facility visited by plant staff? (specify): daily | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Does the facility appear to be well maintained (grass mowed, equipment painted, facilities kept clean, etc)? | 20.0 | Υ | 20.0 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | | | | | | Avail.: | | 20.0 |
| 5. | Transmission of Source Water | | | Sup. P | nts. | Earned | • | 20.0 |
| 1. | Do the transmission lines deliver all of the raw water directly to the treatment plant? | | Υ | | . N | | - | |
| | Are the transmission lines reliable for providing a continuous supply of raw water | 20.0 | Υ | 20.0 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | to the treatment plant? | | | | | Avail.: Earned | : , | 20.0 |
| | | | | E | | . Pts: l Points | : | 300.0 194.0 |
| | · · | | | | lte | em# | 1 | |

Treatment

| IAME of WATER TREATMENT PLANT: DENTIFY TREATMENT PROCESS TYPE: | Monroe Water Works Low pressure cartridge membranes |
|--|---|
| 1 . Location of Treatment Facilities | |
| 1 . Is the treatment plant located at a level below the 100-year | ar flood plain? |
| 2 . Are there any known sources of contamination in the vicin | nity of the treatment plant? 10.0 N 10.0 Y 0.0 N/A |
| | Sub. Pnts Avail.: <u>20.0</u> Sub. Pnts. Earned: <u>20.0</u> |
| 2 . Treatment Plant Schematic/Layout | |
| 1 . Does the dawning(s) show the name of the facility and da made to the drawing(s)? | te of the last modifications 5.0 Y 5.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 2 . Are the drawings up to date? | 5.0 Y <u>5.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 3 . Does the schematic or layout map(s) identify treatment ty | pe(s)? 5.0 Y 5.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 4 . Is there a treatment unit that appears to be inappropriate? | 5.0 N 5.0 Y 0.0 N/A |
| | Sub. Pnts Avail.: 20.0 Sub. Pnts. Earned: 20.0 |
| 3 . Capacity of Treatment Facilities | |
| 1 . What is the design capacity of the treatment facilities? What is the historical maximum daily demand of the water What is the source water storage capacity of the system? Given the service connections of population, are treatment | 878 MG |
| 2 . Are the treatment facilities capable of meeting the required unit out of service? | d capacity with the largest 5.0 Y 5.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 3 . Can the treatment processes be interrupted by power outsils there a backup or standby power available? (identify) Can the operator demonstrate that backup power system | generator 4.0 Y 4.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 4 . Does the system check the operating characteristics of the periodically and compare them to the original operating ch | |
| Should the capacity of the unit be derated? | YN |
| If so, what is the new capacity? | MGD |
| 4.Treatment Processes and Facilities - <u>Presedi</u> | Sub. Pnts Avail.: 20.0 Sub. Pnts. Earned: 20.0 mentation |
| Is the total capacity of the presedimentation basins large e the purpose of reducing turbidity? | |
| Are the presedimentation facilities cleaned? How often? | YN_ <u>~</u> _ |
| | Sub. P ր[s r∱v#il _f <u>20.0</u> Sub. Pnts. Earned: <u>20.0</u> |

| 5 Treatment Processes and Facilities - Flow Control and Metering Sys | <u>stems</u> |
|---|---|
| Are flow measurement devices installed at the source water inlet and finished water outlet? Are they functioning? | 10.0 Y 10.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| How often are they calibrated to assure accuracy?(specify) annually | 5.0 Y <u>5.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| Are here adequate flow control and/or measurement devices throughout the treatment process? | 5.0 Y <u>5.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| | Sub. Pnts Avail.: 20.0 Sub. Pnts. Earned: 20.0 |
| 6 . Treatment Processes and Facilities - <u>Rapid Mix</u> | ****** |
| 1 . Does the rapid mix unit visually appear adequate? | 3.0 Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 2 . Are coagulant chemicals being fed continuously during treatment plant operations? | 2.0 Y 2.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 3 . Does the plant have multiple mix units? How often is maintenance done?(specify): by schedule | 1.0 Y N <u>1.0</u> N/A |
| 4 . Is the mechanical equipment working? | 3.0 Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| Are their any hydraulic inadequacies? | 3.0 N 3.0 Y 0.0 N/A |
| 5 . Is the rate of mixing adjustable so that correct mixing can be provided at all flows? | 2.0 Y N _2.0 N/A |
| 6 . What is the design G? | 1100sec ⁻¹ |
| Is G within the generally accepted range? (700 - 1000 sec ⁻¹) | 2.0 Y N _2.0 N/A |
| What is the detention time? | sec |
| Is detention time within the accepted range? (15 - 60 sec) | 2.0 Y 2.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 7 . Have rapid mix units been evaluated for cross connections? | 2.0 Y <u>2.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| | Sub. Pnts Avail.: 20.0 |
| 7.Treatment Processes and Facilities - Chemicals and Chemical Feed | Sub. Pnts. Earned: <u>15.0</u> Systems |
| 1 . What chemicals are used? Pre: alum, lime, chlorine dioxide | |
| Post: lime, fluoride, phosphate, sodium hypochlorite | |
| , inne, nuoride, priospriate, sodium hypothione | |
| 2 . Do chemicals conform to NSF Standard 60? | 5.0 Y 5.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 3 . Are the chemicals used for treating water appropriate for meeting WQ goals? | 2.0 Y <u>2.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 4 . Does the plant have the capacity to apply chemicals above the current maximum daily use? | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 5 . Are the chemical application points appropriate for the various chemicals? | 1.0 Y <u>1.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 6 . Are the chemical feed systems compatible with the chemical used? Is the general condition of the chemical feed equipment acceptable? | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 7 . How often is the chemical feed rate checked for each chemical? daily Are there provisions to calibrate the chemical feed equipment? | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A |

| 8 . Is the chemical feed equipment adjustable? | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Is the control of the chemical feed: Manual: Automatic: | · |
| Does the system use day tanks for liquid chemicals that are purchased in large | Y |
| quantities? | |
| 9 . Is a standby feeder and/or metering pump provided for each chemical? | 1.0 Y <u>1.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| Are they operational? | 1.0 Y <u>1.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| Are they large enough to replace the largest unit that might fail? | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 40 is bookflow assumation assuided on the water lines used for about all find water | |
| 10 . Is backflow prevention provided on the water lines used for chemical feed makeup water? | 1.0 Y <u>1.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 11 . Is the chemical storage area capacity adequate to allow space for free access for | V V N |
| loading and unloading chemicals? | YN |
| Is the chemical storage area safe? | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| Is containment provided for a potential spill? | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| Are incompatible chemicals stored together? | 1.0 N 1.0 Y 0.0 N/A |
| Are facilities properly labeled? | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| | |
| 12 . Is the general condition of the building/room housing the chemical feed equipment acceptable? | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| Are dusty and dry chemicals and feed equipment housed separately? | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| Is proper and adequate ventilation provided? | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| | |
| | Sub. Pnts Avail.: 24.0 |
| 8 . Treatment Processes and Facilites - Coagulation /Flocculation | Sub. Pnts. Earned: 24.0 |
| 8. Heatment Processes and Facilities - Coagulation / localitation | |
| 1 . What type of flocculation facilities are used?(baffled or mechanical mixers) | baffled |
| Does the coagulation/flocculation process visually appear adequate? | 4.0 Y 4.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 2 . Is their any evidence of clumps of coagulants in the first compartment of the | 3.0 N 3.0 Y 0.0 N/A |
| flocculator? | |
| | |
| 3 . Is the mechanical equipment working? | 3.0 Y N <u>0.0</u> N/A <u>3.0</u> |
| Are there any hydraulic inadequacies | 2.0 N 2.0 Y 0.0 N/A |
| A Dana a wellton manuscription maintenance manuscription | |
| 4 . Does a written preventative maintenance program exist? | 2.0 Y <u>2.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 5 . Is the rate of mixing adjustable so that the correct mixing can be provided at all flows? | 2.0 Y N _2.0 N/A |
| 6 . What is the velocity gradient, G, for the flocculation process? (specify) | sec ⁻¹ |
| Is G within the generally accepted range?(first stage; 50 - 100 sec-1; second and | 2.0 Y <u>2,0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| third stages; 20 - 50 sec ⁻¹) | |
| What is the peripheral tip speed of the mixers?(if applicable) | n/a ft/sec |
| Is the tip speed within the generally accepted range? (0.5 - 2.0 ft/sec) | 1.0 Y N <u>0.0</u> N/A <u>1.0</u> |
| What is the GT?(G X detention time) 61200 | |
| Is the GT within the generally accepted range?(20,000 - 120,000) | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| | 7.00 |
| What is the detention time in the flocculation basin(s)? (specify) 30 min | ı . |
| Is the dentition time within the generally accepted range?(20 - 60 min) | 2.0 Y 2.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| | |
| | Sub. Pnts Avail.: 22.0 |
| O Transment Dransmen and Englisher Codimentation/Claustic-ti- | Sub. Pnts. Earned: 16.0 |
| 9 . Treatment Processes and Facilities - <u>Sedimentation/Clarification</u> | |
| 1 . What type of sedimentation/clarification process is being used?(horizontal flow, | Item # 1 |
| inclined, upflow): horizontal flow | iteiii# i |

| • | Attachment number 1 \nPa |
|--|--|
| Does the sedimentation/clarification process visually appear adequate? | 3.0 Y <u>3.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 2 . Is the flow distributed evenly to all basins? | 3.0 N 3.0 Y 0.0 N/A |
| Is the inlet flow distributed uniformly over the full cross section? | 3.0 Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| · | |
| 3 . Is the mechanical equipment working? | 1.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 1.0 |
| Are their any hydraulic inadequacies? | 2.0 N <u>2.0</u> Y <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 1 . Are the basins baffled? | 3.0 Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| The the sacine same t | 0.0 1 <u>0.0</u> 11 <u>0.0</u> 11/A |
| 5. What is the surface overflow rate?(conventional: 0.4 - 0.7, plate settlers: 2 - 6, upflow | |
| clarification: 0.7 - 1.8 gal/min/ft ²) | |
| What is the detention time?(1.5-4 hr): 3.67 hr | |
| What is the velocity flow? (1.0 - 3.0 ft/sec): 0.0065 ft/sec | |
| Are these within the general acceptable ranges? | 2.0 Y 2.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| . Does there appear to be too much sludge in the basins? | 20 N 20 V 00 N/A |
| Is it impacting settled water performance? | 2.0 N 2.0 Y 0.0 N/A 2.0 Y 0.0 N/A 2.0 |
| How is the sludge removed from the clarifiers?(explain: | 1 00 11/1 2.0 |
| manual washdown | |
| How often is sludge removed?(specify): | - |
| semiannually | |
| What is the cettled water turbidity? 0.40 NTU | |
| . What is the settled water turbidity?NTU | |
| | Sub. Pnts Avail.: 21.0 |
| | Sub. Pnts. Earned: 18.0 |
| . Treatment Processes and Facilities - Pressure Filtration Systems | |
| | |
| AND ALCOHOLOGICAL CONTRACTOR AND | |
| VVDST KING OT MEGISTIM) DSVEIDSS DEED INSTRIBOTY/IMODO MEGILIM. GUST MEGIS | |
| . vvnat kind of media(um) nave/nas been installed)?(mono medium, dual media, multi-media) | |
| multi-media) | · |
| . What kind of media(um) have/has been installed)?(mono medium, dual media, multi-media) . What is the permitted filtration rate?gal/min/ft² | · |
| multi-media) . What is the permitted filtration rate?gal/min/ft² | - · |
| multi-media) . What is the permitted filtration rate?gal/min/ft² . What is the maximum filtration rate at design capacity with one filter out of service? | · · |
| multi-media) . What is the permitted filtration rate? | - |
| multi-media) . What is the permitted filtration rate? | · |
| . What is the permitted filtration rate?gal/min/ft² . What is the maximum filtration rate at design capacity with one filter out of service?gal/min/ft² . When was the last internal inspection of the filters performed?(specify) | - - |
| multi-media) . What is the permitted filtration rate? | - - 3.0 Y N <i>0.0</i> N/A 3.0 |
| . What is the permitted filtration rate?gal/min/ft² . What is the maximum filtration rate at design capacity with one filter out of service?gal/min/ft² . When was the last internal inspection of the filters performed?(specify) Were the media and depths, internal piping, and internal surface of the vessel checked? | 3.0 Y N <u>0.0</u> N/A <u>3.0</u> |
| . What is the permitted filtration rate?gal/min/ft² . What is the maximum filtration rate at design capacity with one filter out of service?gal/min/ft² . When was the last internal inspection of the filters performed?(specify) Were the media and depths, internal piping, and internal surface of the vessel | 3.0 Y N <u>0.0</u> N/A <u>3.0</u> 2.0 Y N <u>0.0</u> N/A <u>2.0</u> |
| . What is the permitted filtration rate? | 2.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 2.0 |
| . What is the permitted filtration rate?gal/min/ft² . What is the maximum filtration rate at design capacity with one filter out of service?gal/min/ft² . When was the last internal inspection of the filters performed?(specify) Were the media and depths, internal piping, and internal surface of the vessel checked? | |
| . What is the permitted filtration rate? . What is the maximum filtration rate at design capacity with one filter out of service? . Gal/min/ft² . When was the last internal inspection of the filters performed?(specify) Were the media and depths, internal piping, and internal surface of the vessel checked? Can the operator provide the inspection report? If deficiencies were noted in the report, have they been corrected? | 2.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 2.0 |
| . What is the permitted filtration rate? | 2.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 2.0 |
| . What is the permitted filtration rate? | 2.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 2.0 4.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 4.0 |
| multi-media) . What is the permitted filtration rate? | 2.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 2.0 |
| . What is the permitted filtration rate?gal/min/ft² . What is the maximum filtration rate at design capacity with one filter out of service?gal/min/ft² . When was the last internal inspection of the filters performed?(specify) Were the media and depths, internal piping, and internal surface of the vessel checked? Can the operator provide the inspection report? If deficiencies were noted in the report, have they been corrected? Ask the operator to backwash a filter. | 2.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 2.0 4.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 4.0 |
| . What is the permitted filtration rate?gal/min/ft² . What is the maximum filtration rate at design capacity with one filter out of service?gal/min/ft² . When was the last internal inspection of the filters performed?(specify) Were the media and depths, internal piping, and internal surface of the vessel checked? Can the operator provide the inspection report? If deficiencies were noted in the report, have they been corrected? Ask the operator to backwash a filter. Is the correct backwash procedure followed based on filter media type, etc.? What is the high rate backwash flow?gal/min/ft² | 2.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 2.0 4.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 4.0 7.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 7.0 |
| . What is the permitted filtration rate? | 2.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 2.0 4.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 4.0 |
| . What is the permitted filtration rate?gal/min/ft² . What is the maximum filtration rate at design capacity with one filter out of service?gal/min/ft² . When was the last internal inspection of the filters performed?(specify) Were the media and depths, internal piping, and internal surface of the vessel checked? Can the operator provide the inspection report? If deficiencies were noted in the report, have they been corrected? Ask the operator to backwash a filter. Is the correct backwash procedure followed based on filter media type, etc.? What is the high rate backwash flow?gal/min/ft² Is it adequate? | 2.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 2.0 4.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 4.0 7.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 7.0 |
| . What is the permitted filtration rate?gal/min/ft² . What is the maximum filtration rate at design capacity with one filter out of service?gal/min/ft² . When was the last internal inspection of the filters performed?(specify) Were the media and depths, internal piping, and internal surface of the vessel checked? Can the operator provide the inspection report? If deficiencies were noted in the report, have they been corrected? Ask the operator to backwash a filter. Is the correct backwash procedure followed based on filter media type, etc.? What is the high rate backwash flow?gal/min/ft² Is it adequate? What is the turbidity of the backwash waste at the end of the backwash process? | 2.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 2.0 4.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 4.0 7.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 7.0 |
| what is the permitted filtration rate?gal/min/ft² What is the maximum filtration rate at design capacity with one filter out of service?gal/min/ft² When was the last internal inspection of the filters performed?(specify) Were the media and depths, internal piping, and internal surface of the vessel checked? Can the operator provide the inspection report? If deficiencies were noted in the report, have they been corrected? Ask the operator to backwash a filter. Is the correct backwash procedure followed based on filter media type, etc.? What is the high rate backwash flow?gal/min/ft² Is it adequate? What is the turbidity of the backwash waste at the end of the backwash process? NTU | 2.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 2.0 4.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 4.0 7.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 7.0 |
| . What is the permitted filtration rate?gal/min/ft² . What is the maximum filtration rate at design capacity with one filter out of service?gal/min/ft² . When was the last internal inspection of the filters performed?(specify) Were the media and depths, internal piping, and internal surface of the vessel checked? Can the operator provide the inspection report? If deficiencies were noted in the report, have they been corrected? Ask the operator to backwash a filter. Is the correct backwash procedure followed based on filter media type, etc.? What is the high rate backwash flow?gal/min/ft² Is it adequate? What is the turbidity of the backwash waste at the end of the backwash process? NTU NTU | 2.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 2.0 4.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 4.0 7.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 7.0 |
| what is the permitted filtration rate?gal/min/ft² What is the maximum filtration rate at design capacity with one filter out of service?gal/min/ft² When was the last internal inspection of the filters performed?(specify) Were the media and depths, internal piping, and internal surface of the vessel checked? Can the operator provide the inspection report? If deficiencies were noted in the report, have they been corrected? Ask the operator to backwash a filter. Is the correct backwash procedure followed based on filter media type, etc.? What is the high rate backwash flow?gal/min/ft² Is it adequate? What is the turbidity of the backwash waste at the end of the backwash process? NTU | 2.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 2.0 4.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 4.0 7.0 Y N 0.0 N/A 7.0 |

Section III - Treatment

Page 17 of 35

Sanitary Survey -Monroe 2015

11 . Treatment Processes and Facilities - Gravity Filtration System

| 1 | . What type of see comment | • | is being used | ? (gravity, cor | nstant or declining i | rate: | | | | |
|-----|------------------------------------|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| 2 | . What kind of | media has been | installed: (mo | no, dual, mul | ti media)? | | | , | | |
| 3 | . Wat is the pe | ermitted filtration r | rate? | | gal/min/ft² | | | | | |
| 4 | . What is t he | maximum filtratio | n rate at desig | n capacity wi | ith one filter out of s | | l/min/ft² | | | |
| 5 | • | ator to completely hed. Visually ins | | ihat will be in | spected | ya | ://////C | | | |
| 6 | . Is there any v | risual indication o | f problems on | the surface of | of the filter? | | 2.0 1 | v | Y <u>0.0</u> N// | A <u>2.0</u> |
| 7 | . What is the d | epth of each filter | r medium? (sp | ecify) | | | | | | |
| | Medium | Anthracite " | Sand " | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| | Depth | | | | | | | | | |
| | Is the mediu | m depth adequa | ate? | 4 | | | 2.0 | 1 | N 0.0 N/A | A 2.0 |
| 8 . | Are there an | y mudballs? | | | | | 2.0 N | 1 | Y 0.0 N/A | |
| | Is the support | gravel mixed wit | h the media? | | | | 1.0 N | 1 | Y 0.0 N/A | |
| | Is there any ir | nproper stratifica | tion of media | ayers? | | | 1.0 N | 1 | Y 0.0 N/A | 1.0 |
| | Is there any s | ignificant variatio | ns in the eleva | ation of the su | upport gravel? | | 1.0 N | 1 | Y 0.0 N/A | 1.0 |
| 9. | - | itor to prepare a f | | _ | | | | | | |
| | vvnat are the | means and meth | | - | | | | | | |
| | | Filtered water: | | Pumpe | d: | | | | | |
| | | Finished water: | | _. Tar | nk: | | | | | |
| | Is there an es | tablished backwa | ish procedure | ? | | | 2.0 Y | · | N 0.0 N/A | 2.0 |
| | Is the correct | backwash proced | dure followed l | pased on me | • • | | 2.0 Y | <i>'</i> | N 0.0 N/A | 2.0 |
| | What is the hi | gh rate backwasł | h flow? | | gal/min/ft² | | | | | |
| | Is the high rate | e backwash flow | adequate? (1 | 5-23 gal/min/ | ft²) | | 1.0 Y | • | N 0.0 N/A | 1.0 |
| | Is the distribut | tion of water/air a | cross the filter | even? | - | | 2.0 Y | , | N 0.0 N/A | |
| | Is there any be | oiling of the medi | a? | | | | 1.0 N | | Y 0.0 N/A | |
| | | ash troughs leve | | | | | 1.0 Y | | N 0.0 N/A | |
| | | ash troughs ever | | • | | | 1.0 Y | | N 0.0 N/A | |
| | | _ | • | | ioning appropriately | v? | 2.0 Y | | N 0.0 N/A | |
| | | | • | | the backwash proce | • | NTU | | | |
| | | • | | | ng the backwash | | NTU | | | |
| 0. | ls the monitori working for all | | on (loss-of-hea | ad, effluent flo | ow rate, and filter w | ater turbidity | 2.0 Y | | N <u>0.0</u> N/A | 2.0 |
| 1. | What criteria a (specify) | are used by the o | perator(s) to d | etermine who | en a filter requires t | backwashing? | | | | |
| | | erators of the tre | eatment plan | t use the sa | me criteria? | . , | 1.0 Y | | N <u>0.0</u> N/A | 1.0 |
| 2. | Does the sys | tem use filter-to | -waste in the | backwashi | ng procedure? | | 2.0 Y | | N <u>0.0</u> N/A | 2.0 |
| 3. | = | hed filters brough imize post-backw | | | ates that are gradu | ally increased | 1.0 Y | | N <u>0.0</u> N/A | 1.0 |
| Ι. | Are filters eve | er stopped then | started up a | gain without | backwashing the | em first? | 1.0 N | | Y <u>0.0</u> N/A ltem # 1 | 1.0 |
| | Are the filters | ever "bumped" | ' to extend fil | ter runs? | | | Υ | | N | |
| | Section III | - Treatment | | F | age 18 of 35 | , | Sanitary Sur | vev -Me | onroe 2015 | i |

| 15 | Is there any means of measuring the backwash flow rate? Is it working? | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A |
|------|---|--|
| | When was the flow meter calibrated last? (specify) Jul-05 | - W |
| 16 | . Is the condition of the piping in the filter gallery adequate? Are pipes in the filter gallery color-coded and marked? Are there any cross connections? | 1.0 Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A |
| | Is there a floor drain to remove all leaking water from the filter gallery floor? | Y N |
| | | Sub. Pnts Avail.: 31.0 Sub. Pnts. Earned: 3.0 |
| 12 | Treatment Processes and Facilities - <u>Disinfection</u> | |
| 1 . | What type of disinfection process and facilities are used at the treatment plant? (list): sodium hypochlorite | |
| 2 . | Do the responsible operators understand the disinfection process? | 4.0 Y <u>4.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 3 . | Are the responsible operators knowledgeable about the process and equipment? | 3.0 Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 4 . | How was the T₁0 determined? (calculated or field tracer study): Calculated Tracer: ✓ | |
| | How was the CT determined at this facility? calculated | _ |
| | What is the total log inactivation obtained? 1.78 Log | |
| 5 . | Is continuous disinfectant monitoring being done? Are adequate records kept showing compliance with the CT requirements? | 3.0 Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 6 - | What is the chlorine residual leaving the treatment plant? 1.78 mg/L | |
| | Does it meet the SWTR requirements? (0.2 mg/l) | 5.0 Y 5.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| | What is the average chlorine residual throughout the distribution system? | |
| | Does the residual provide adequate protection out in the distribution system? | 2.0 Y <u>2.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| | | Sub. Pnts Avail.: <u>20.0</u> Sub. Pnts. Earned: <u>20.0</u> |
| 13 . | Treatment Processes and Facilities - Waste Streams | |
| 1. | How are wastewater from the backwash process and sludge from the sedimentation process managed? (into sewer line, French drain or pond): | |
| 2 . | Is the filter backwash water wasted or recycled? Waste: Recycle: ✓ | |
| 3. | If recycled, does backwash water receive any treatment to decrease pathogen densities? | YN_ <u> </u> |
| 4 . | What is the volume of the waste stream holding tank? | 217000 gal |
| | What is the volume of the waste stream being recycled? (percentage basis) | 6% |
| | | Item # 1 |

Attachment number 1 \nPage 1

20.0

278.0

216.0

Sect. Pts:

Earned Points

5 . How much solids are in the recycled waste streams? unknown mg/L. How does this compare to the solids in the raw water? unknown mg/L 6 . Are the coagulant dosages adjusted to accommodate the recycle flows? Are any jar tests performed to determine the impact of the recycle stream? 7 . Are all discharge and disposal activities in accordance with the NPDES permit? ✓ N

14. In-Plant Cross-Connection Control

1 , Does the system have a cross connection control plan for the plant? Y 4.0 N 0.0 N/A Is the program active and effective in controlling cross connections? 4.0 N 0.0 N/A 2 . Are all water uses in the plant identified? N 0.0 N/A Are all potable water lines protected with proper backflow prevention devices? 4.0 N 0.0 N/A 3 . Are the appropriate backflow preventers used for all existing cross connections? 4.0 N 0.0 N/A Sub. Pnts Avail.: Sub. Pnts. Earned:

| | NAME of the DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM OPERATOR in-responsi | ble-charge: Ron | nald Hill | |
|-----|--|---|------------|--|
| | Is the Operator in-responsible-charge properly certified? (verify) | | | Y_ ✓ N |
| | Names of other CERTIFIED Distribution System Operators(list): | Tad Armisted Adam Gordon Clifford Morrell | | |
| 1 | Distribution Maps and Records | | | • |
| 1, | Are there maps of the distribution system? Are all major features shown (line and valve location, size, and material location; dead ends; pressure zones; storage tanks, booster stations)? | ; fire hydrant | 5.0 3.0 | Y 5.0 N 0.0 N/A Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 2 . | When were the maps last updated? ongoing How are the changes or additions reported and the map(s) updated? (specify): in-house GIS | _ | | |
| 3. | Is there a record system? Does it include documentation of operation and maintenance repairs, le and construction standards? | ak detection, | 3.0 | Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 4. | Are customer complaints and investigation reports kept? (verify) Is there an apparent/common problem indicated by the customer completely, what is it? (specify): discolored water | aints? | 3.0 | Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| | | | | Sub. Pnts Avail.: 20.0 Sub. Pnts. Earned: 20.0 |
| 2. | Field Sampling/Measurements | | | |
| 1. | What is the maximum and minimum residual at the maximum residence distribution system? Max | time in the Min 0.3 50.0 mg/L | | 48.0mg/L month |
| 2. | What is the maximum and minimum pressure range at the highest point system? Max 50 psi Min What is the normal operating pressure in the distribution system? | in the distribution 48 psi 60 psi | | |
| | How often are pressure readings taken in the distribution system? | annually | per | |
| 3. | Distribution System Design/Material Standards | | | |
| 1. | Did the system establish a "design standard" that specifies minimum for all water lines and appurtenances? (verify) Does it specify minimum pipe size? | um requirements | | Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A Y 1.0 N 0.0 N/A Item # 1 |

Section IV - Distribution

Page 22 of 35

Sanitary Survey -Monroe 2015

| 6 | Disinfection of Repaired Water Lines | | Attachment number 1 \nP |
|-----|---|------------|---|
| ·1. | Does the system have a procedure for disinfecting and flushing repaired water lines? If not, what steps does the system follow when repairing existing water lines? | 8.0 | Y <u>8.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| | Are there adequate repair materials on hand? | 8.0 | Y <u>8.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 2 . | Are there reports or test results, which document disinfection of repaired water mains and any subsequent bacteriological testing? | 4.0 | Y <u>4.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A Sub. Pnts Avail.: <u>20.0</u> Sub. Pnts. Earned: <u>20.0</u> |
| 7 . | Flushing Procedures | | |
| 1. | Does the system have a procedure for flushing a portion of the distribution system on a regular basis? | 10.0 | Y <u>10.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 2 . | Are there reports or records that document the portions of the system that have been flushed and the date of the flushing? | 10.0 | Y 10.0 N 0.0 N/A Sub. Pnts Avail.: 20.0 Sub. Pnts. Earned: 20.0 |
| 8 . | Cross-Connection Control | | |
| 1. | Does the system have a formal written program to address cross-connections? | 3.0 | Y <u>3.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| | If not, what steps does the system take to eliminate cross-connections? (specify): | | |
| 2 . | Is the cross-connection program being implemented? | 4.0 | Y <u>4.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 3. | Is there an inspection of new construction as well as follow-up inspections to ensure that at all potential cross connections are eliminated? Is there a follow-up inspection? | 3.0 3.0 | Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 4. | Is there a requirement for the annual testing of the installed backflow prevention devices? What documentation is available? (specify): | 7.0 | Y <u>7.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| | test reports | | |
| | What qualifications must a tester have? (specify): Ga. State-approved certification | | |
| | How many certified testers of cross-connection devices are available? (specify): list not provided | | |
| | | | Sub. Pnts Avail.: 20.0 Sub. Pnts. Earned: 20.0 |
| 9. | Elimination of Water Loss | | |
| 1. | Is all water metered at the point of entry into the distribution system? | 3.0 | Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| 2. | Are all customers metered? | 3.0 | Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A ltem # 1 |

Page 23 of 35

Sanitary Survey - Monroe 2015

Section IV - Distribution

Attachment number 1 \nPage 2

| 3 . | . How often are the meters | checked and calibrated, if n | ecessary? | (specify): | | | |
|------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | | annually (>2") | | | | | |
| 4 . | . Is there a leak detection p | rogram? | | | 3.0 | Y <u>3.0</u> N _ | <i>0.0</i> N/A |
| 5 . | . Is the water loss for the sy | stem calculated? | | | 2.0 | Y <u>2.0</u> N _ | 0.0 N/A |
| | What is it? | <u></u> % | | | | | |
| | Is it <15%? | | | | 1.0 | Y N | 0.0 N/A 1.0 |
| | Is it <10%? | | | | 8.0 | YN | 0.0 N/A 8.0 |
| 6. | If the water loss for the sys | tem is greater than 10%, wh | nat is the s | ystem doing t | o reduce its wa | ter losses? (s | pecify): |
| | | , avvice promise and a contract of the contrac | ************************************** | U GARAGO AND A CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACT | | | |
| | | | | | | Sub. Pn Sub. Pnts. E | ts Avail.: <u>20.0</u> arned: <u>11.0</u> |
| 10 . | Purchased Water / C | onsecutive System | | | | | |
| 1. | Is there booster disinfection | n at the point of connection? | 1 | | | YN | |
| 2. | Is there a booster pump sta | ation at the point of connecti | on? | | | YN | |
| 3. | Is there a backflow prevent | ion device at the point of co | nnection? | | | YN | ····· |
| 4. | Is there a metering device a | at the point of connection? | | | | YN | |
| 5. | List the public water system | s from which the water is p | urchased : | | | | |
| | a. System Name: | | | WSID#: | GA | | · |
| | Source Type: | Ground | Surface | | | a na markata tigata a | 14 - 1 2 - 1 ₂ 14 |
| | | hased (monthly average: | | MG | Personal Agency | | e e a |
| | b. System Name: | | | WSID#: | GA | | |
| | Source Type: | Ground | Surface | | | | |
| | | hased (monthly average: | | MG | | | |
| | c. System Name: | | | WOR | 0.4 | | |
| | Source Type: | Ground | 04-14 | WSID#: | GA | · , 중 반기 전기점(1점) (12 년 244 주기 -) | <u> १४ - १५ - १४ - १४ व</u> र्ष <u>१</u> |
| | | nased (monthly average: | Surface | MG | | | |
| | | incom futionining average. | | 1.00 | | | |

Sect. Pts: <u>161.0</u> Earned Points <u>150.0</u>

FINISHED WATER STORAGE

1 . Types of Storage

| 1 . List the types of storage facilities in the system: (i.e. clearwell, ground storage, elevat | ed, hydro | pneumatic): |
|---|------------|------------------------------------|
| clearwell, elevated | - | • |
| 2 . Are the storage facilities covered or otherwise protected? | 5.0 | Y <u>5.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 3 . Does the overflow pipe discharge above ground to an open basin or splash pad? | 3.0 | Y 3.0 N 0:0 N/A |
| Is the overflow pipe equipped with a flap valve or screened? | 3.0 | Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| | | |
| 4 . Do the storage facilities have means to drain them? | 3.0 | Y <u>3.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 5 . Do the air and roof vents have a screen? | 3.0 | Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| Are vents covered or face downward to protect the tank from rain? | 3.0 | Y 3.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| | | • |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | Sub. Pnts Avail.: 20.0 |
| | | Sub. Pnts. Earned: 20.0 |
| | | |
| 2 . Location of Storage | | |
| 1 . Are there any potential sanitary hazards in the area? | 7.0 | N 7.0 Y 0.0 N/A |
| Are the hazards close enough to be of concern to the storage facilities? | 3.0 | N 3.0 Y 0.0 N/A |
| If so, what and where are the hazards? | | |
| | | |
| 2 . Are there any physical features on or around the site that could damage the tank? | 5.0 | N <u>5.0</u> Y <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 3 . Is the site well maintained? | 5.0 | Y 5.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| J. 15 the site well maintained: | 0.0 | 1 <u>0,0</u> 11 <u>0,0</u> 10,1 |
| | | Sub. Pnts Avail.: 20.0 |
| | | Sub. Pnts. Earned: 20.0 |
| | | |
| | | |
| 3 . Capacity of Storage Tanks | | |
| 1 . Is the total (ground and/or elevated) storage capacity adequate for daily demand? | 10.0 | Y 10.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| | | |
| 2 . In case of elevated storage tanks, are tanks properly sized and elevated to assure | 10.0 | Y <u>10.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| adequate pressure throughout the distribution system? | | — Out Dut Aveil a 00.0 |
| | | Sub. Pnts Avail.: 20.0 |
| | | Sub. Pnts. Earned: 20.0 |
| 4 . Design and Storage Tank Components | | |
| | | |
| 1 . Check to see if the tank(s) has(have) at least the following components in good condit | | |
| Roof sloped to prevent standing water. | 2.0 | Y 2.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| No leakage through the roof. A lockable access hatch on the roof, with a raised curb. | 2.0 2.0 | Y 2.0 N 0.0 N/A Y 2.0 N 0.0 N/A |
| Vent covered with fine corrosion resistant screen? | 2.0 1.0 | Y 1.0 INDERECTOR N/A |
| Tank Data Garage International Control of Control | | |

| | | | Attachment number 1 \nPa |
|---|--|---------|--|
| Water level measurement device (level indicator, scada system etc.) | 1.0 | Y 1.0 |) N 0.Q ,N/A' . |
| Overflow terminates with a flap valve or screened on the end. | 2.0 | Y 2.0 | |
| Inlet and outlet piping located to ensure proper circulation of water. | 2.0 | Υ | N 2.0 N/A |
| Drain to remove accumulated silt from the bottom of tank. | 1.0 | Y 1.0 | |
| Access openings on the side. | 1.0 | Y 1.0 | |
| Access ladder with proper safety equipment. | 1.0 | Y 1.0 | |
| Valves on inlet and outlet for isolation. | 1.0 | Y 1.0 | |
| Bypass around the tank for maintenance. | 1.0 | Y 1.0 | N 0.0 N/A |
| Does the system have a control system to maintain water level in the tank | | | |
| (altitude valve, scada system, etc)? | 2.0 | Y 2.0 | N 0.0 N/A |
| Alarm system for high/low water levels. | 1.0 | Y 1.0 | N 0.0 N/A |
| Check to see if a hydropneumatic tank have at least the following components in go Fank is located completely above ground. Fank meets ASME standards with an ASME nameplate attached. Access port for periodic inspections. Pressure relief device with a pressure gauge. Control system to maintain proper air/water ratio. Air injection lines equipped with filters to remove contaminants from the air line. Sight glass to determine water level for proper air/water ratio. Adequate valving for isolation and bypass for maintenance. | 4.0 2.0 2.0 3.0 3.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 | YYYYYYY | N 0.0 N/A 4.0 N 0.0 N/A 2.0 N 0.0 N/A 2.0 N 0.0 N/A 3.0 N 0.0 N/A 3.0 N 0.0 N/A 2.0 N 0.0 N/A 2.0 N 0.0 N/A 2.0 |
| | | | b. Pnts Avail.: 40.0 nts. Earned: 18.0 |

5 . Painting of Storage Tanks

Finished Water Stor.

| 1 | . When was the last time the interior surface of the tank was painted? (specify): | ting pag | <u>je followin</u> |
|-----|--|----------|---|
| | What type of paint was used? (specify): | | |
| | ероху | | |
| | Was the paint used lead-based paint? | 6.0 | N 6.0 Y 0.0 N/A |
| 2 | Does the paint conform to ANSI/NSF Standard 61 for potable water use? | 10.0 | Y <u>10.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| | Is the paint in good condition? (visual observation - exterior surface) | 4.0 | Y <u>4.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| | | | Sub. Pnts Avail.: 20.0 Sub. Pnts. Earned: 20.0 |
| 6. | Cleaning and Maintenance of Tanks | | |
| 1. | Do you observe signs of cracks, leaks, rust, corrosion, failure in steel supports, etc? | 5.0 | N _ 5.0 Y _ 0.0 N/A |
| 2 . | How often are inspection and cleaning performed? (specify): every three years | | |
| 3. | How often does the system have its storage tanks inspected by a qualified contractor? annually | , | |
| 4. | Does the system have a plan for continued maintenance of distribution system pressure when the tank needs to be removed for maintenance? | 10.0 | Y _10.0 Nem0# N/A |

Page 26 of 35

Sanitary Survey - Monroe 2015

| 5 , After interior maintenance has been performed, are tanks disinfected before used? | 5.0 | Attachment number 1 \nPage 2 Y _ 5.0 _ N _ 0.0 _ N/A |
|---|------|--|
| | | Sub. Pnts Avail.: <u>20.0</u> Sub. Pnts. Earned: <u>20.0</u> |
| 7.Site Security | | |
| 1 . Is the fence surrounding the tank site intruder-resistant? (active tanks) | 10.0 | Y <u>10.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 2 . Are access hatches locked? (active tanks) | 10.0 | Y <u>10.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| | | Sub. Pnts Avail.: 40.0 Sub. Pnts. Earned: 40.0 |
| | | Sect. Pts: 160.0 Earned Points 138.0 |

Tank Painting Descriptions

| | CAPIC F CALLIER, | .9 | |
|---------------------|------------------|----------|---------------|
| | Date Pa | ainted | Type of Paint |
| Tank Identification | Interior | Exterior | Interior |
| Marable St. | Apr-12 | May-04 | ероху |
| Norris St. | Mar-99 | Feb-07 | ероху |
| Water Plant | Mar-90 | Oct-13 | ероху |
| Wayne St. | Jul-12 | Jul-12 | ероху |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | - | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | 1 | 1 |

Section VI

PUMPS/PUMP FACILITIES/CONTROLS

| 1 . Pun | nps in General | | | | | |
|------------------|--|------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 . Are t | the types of pumps used by the system appropriate for the intended use? | 7.0 | Y <u>7.0</u> | N <u>0.0</u> | N/A | |
| | ne actual type, number or capacities of the pumps comply with the approved gn? If not, when did the modifications to the pumps take place? | 3.0 | Y <u>3.0</u> | N <u>0.0</u> | N/A | |
| 3 . In ge | eneral, are the capacities of the pumps adequate for their intended used? | 5.0 | Y <u>5.0</u> | N <u>0.0</u> | N/A | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 4 . In ge | eneral, is the <u>number</u> of pumps located at each facility adequate? | 5.0 | Y <u>5.0</u> | N <u>0.0</u> | N/A | |
| | t is the <u>firm capacity</u> of the water plant's pumping station? Pumps sheet following this sec MGD t is the <u>total capacity</u> of the water plant's pumping station? Pumps sheet following this sec MGD | | | | | |
| | Tumps sites following this sec in OB | | | Sub. Pnts nts. Earne | | 20.0 20.0 |
| 2 . Con | dition of Pumps | | | | | |
| | all the critical pumps operational? , when does the system intend to repair or replace the pump(s)? (specify): | 8.0 | Y <u>8.0</u> | N <u>0.0</u> | N/A | |
| | he pumps vibrating excessively, overheated, making excessive noise, or ucing an odor? | 6.0 | N <u>6.0</u> | Y <u>0.0</u> | N/A | |
| 3 . Are t | he pumps regularly maintained and lubricated in accordance with the ufacturer's recommendations? | 6.0 | Y <u>6.0</u> | N <u>0.0</u> | N/A | |
| man | and the first of t | | | Sub. Pnts nts. Earne | | 20.0 20.0 |
| 3 . Pun | nping Station | | | | | |
| | e pumping station subject to flooding? what provisions are provided to accommodate the flooding? | 5.0 | N <u>5.0</u> | Y <u>0.0</u> | N/A | - 1- |
| | e location of the pump station subject to electrical outages? the system have an emergency standby power? | 4.0 3.0 | N 4.0 Y 3.0 | Y <u>0.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> | N/A N/A | |
| 3. Is the | pumping station secure from unauthorized entry and vandalism? | 3.0 | Y <u>3.0</u> | N <u>0.0</u> | N/A | |
| 4. Is the | e lighting adequate for security and maintenance? | 1.0 | Y <u>1.0</u> | N <u>0.0</u> | N/A | |
| | the piping in the pumping station freeze? | 1.0 Y | N 1.0 | Y _0.0 | N/A | |
| | e station equipped with ventilation? does it work and is it adequate to maintain a reasonable temperature? | 1.0 | Y 1.0 | N <u>0.0</u> | N/A | |
| 7. Is the | ere a floor drain to collect all leaks? (Is the floor drain operable?) | 1.0 | Y <u>1.0</u> | N 0.0 | ۸/k <u>.</u> | |

| Attachment number 1 \nPage | ł |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 7 tttaoriinent namber 1 tin age | r |

| 8. | Are the pumps, valving, and other major equipment items tagged? |
|----|--|
| | If not, how does the system number the equipment for maintenance purposes? |
| | |

0. In Party Assett - 90.0

Sub. Pnts Avail.: 20.0 Sub. Pnts. Earned: 14.0

Sect. Pts: 60.0 Earned Points 59.0 **Pumps**

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | инро | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Location | Pump# | Capacity (gpm) | Total(gpm) | Firm(gpm) |
| Alcovy River Intake | 1 | 6944 | | |
| | 2 | 6944 | | |
| | | | 13888 | 6944 |
| John T. Briscoe | 1 | 7222 | | |
| Reservoir | | 1 | 7222 | 0 |
| | | | | \mathbb{N} |
| | | | | |
| | | | 0 | 0 |
| Walton Road | 1 | 750 | \rightarrow | \mathcal{N} |
| Pump Station | 2 | 750 | 1500 | 750 |
| Old High Service | 1 | 2847 | | $\overline{}$ |
| | 2 | 2847 | $\searrow \swarrow$ | $\supset \subset$ |
| New High Service | 1 | 3500 | | |
| | 2 | 3500 | | > |
| | | | 12694 | 9194 |
| Backwash Pumps | 1 | 1500 | \mathcal{N} | |
| | 2 | 1500 | \searrow | |
| | | | 3000 | 1500 |
| Feed Pumps | 1 | 4750 | $\supset \bigvee$ | >< |
| | 2 | 4750 | \nearrow | > < |
| | 3 | 4750 | | > < |
| | | | 14250 | 9500 |

Section VII

MONITORING/REPORTING/DATA VERIFICATION

| 1. | Check the system's water quality monitoring plan for conformance with regulatory require | emen | IS. | | | | | |
|-------|--|------------|-----|-------|------------|-------|------------|-----|
| 2 . | Does the water quality-monitoring plan for quality control purposes (non-regulatory monitoring) appear to be adequate for this system? | 2.0 | Y | 2.0 | . N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 3. | Verify that the water quality-monitoring plan is being followed by checking the test results. | | | | | | | |
| | Are proper testing procedures being followed? | 2.0 | Υ | 2.0 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 4. | Verify that all in-house testing as well as equipment and reagents being used conform to accepted test procedures. | | | | | | | |
| | Are the equipment and facilities for monitoring adequate? Are the reagents out of date? | 1.0 1.0 | | 1.0 | | 0.0 | | |
| | Are the test results logged? | | | 1.0 | | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | Are past logs stored in a manner they are available or accessible ? (verify) | 1.0 | | 1.0 | | 0.0 | N/A | _ |
| 5. | Are there any MCLs, treatment techniques, monitoring or reporting violations or Orders for the water system? | 2.0 | N | 2.0 | Y | _0.0_ | N/A | |
| | If so, is there a compliance plan? (verify) | | Y | | N | | | |
| 6. | Have the required sampling plans been submitted and/or approved by EPD? If no, what action is being taken to prepare and submit plans? | 1.0 | Y | 1.0 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 7. | Does the system have an up-to-date and reasonable monitoring data? | 1.0 | Υ. | 1.0 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 8. | Do the data reported match field log books? | 1.0 | Υ. | 1.0 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 9. | Does the operator use test results to identify treatment adjustments? | 1.0 | Υ. | 1.0 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 0. | Is there a procedure for calibrating monitoring equipment, both laboratory and on-line? Are the calibration standards acceptable? | 1.0 1.0 | | 1.0 | | 0.0 | N/A N/A | |
| 1 | Is the system following the regulatory monitoring plans below: | | | | | | | |
| • • • | VOC monitoring (Phase I Rule) | | v | v | N | | | 0.0 |
| | SOC/ IOC monitoring (Phase II / V Rule) | | Ϋ́ | ~ | N | | | 0.0 |
| | TCR | 1.0 | Υ | 1.0 | | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | Lead and Copper Rule | | Υ | ~ | N | , | | • |
| | Turbidity and disinfection monitoring plan (SWTR) | 1.0 | Υ. | 1.0 | | 0.0 | N/A | 40 |
| | Disinfection and Filter Profiles, if necessary (IESWTR) | 1.0 | Υ. | | N | 0.0 | IV/A | 1.0 |
| 2. | Is a certified laboratory being used for all testing? | 2.0 | Υ. | 2.0 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | | | | Sect. | Pts: | | 21.0 | |
| | | | Ea | arned | Poir | ıts - | 20.0 | _ |

Section VIII

SYSTEM MANAGEMENT/OPERATION

| What changes have been made since the last survey in the system management? | (spec | ify) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| none | | | | | | |
| What changes have been made since the last survey in the system personnel? (sp | ecify) | | | | | |
| none | | | | | | |
| What shanges have been made since the last survey in the surface budgets (| :£ 3 | | | | | |
| | IFY) | | | | | |
| increased due to increased operating costs | | | | | | |
| Are the system's files up-to-date with the latest correspondence on compliance monitoring, plans of the system showing changes made since the last survey, sampling plans, compliance issues, and other management related issues? | 2.0 | Y | 2.0 | N | 0.0 | _ N/A . |
| Has the system established any water quality goals? | 2.0 | Υ | 2.0 | N | 0.0 | _ N/A _ |
| Does the operators know what the plant goals are? | 1.0 | Υ | 1.0 | N | 0.0 | N/A |
| Do operators monitor to assess whether goals are being met and then make any appropriate process control adjustments and measure the results of the | 1.0 | Y | 1.0 | N | 0.0 | _ N/A _ |
| Does the system have a means of clearly indicating to its own staff who has the responsibility for various functions and who has the authority to make decisions and approve changes to policies, procedures, system operations, | 2.0 | Y | 2.0 | N | 0.0 | _ N/A _ |
| quality. | 1.0 | Y | 1.0 | N | 0.0 | _ N/A _ |
| Does open, effective communication occur between management and personnel? | 1.0 | Y | 1.0 | N | 0.0 | _ N/A _ |
| Is the number of personnel adequate to perform the work required? | 2.0 | Y | 2.0 | N | 0.0 | _ N/A _ |
| Is there cross-training required of the individuals within the system? | 1.0 | Υ. | 1.0 | N | 0.0 | N/A _ |
| Is there an O&M manual for the system? | 10 | γ | 10 | N | 0.0 | N/A |
| | 1.0 | Ϋ. | | | | N/A |
| Are there SMPs for the system? | 1.0 | Ϋ́ | | | | N/A |
| Are there SMPs for the system? | | Υ | <u> </u> | N | | · – |
| Are these documents readily available to all staff for their use? | 1.0 | Υ | 1.0 | N | 0.0 | N/A |
| Are they being implemented? | 2.0 | Υ | 2.0 | N | 0.0 | N/A |
| Does the system have a Business Plan to demonstrate its financial and managerial capacity to comply with all drinking water regulations in effect, or likely to be in effect? | 1.0 | Υ _ | 1.0 | N . | 0.0 | . N/A _ |
| | | | Sect | Pts: | | 20.0 |
| | | Ε | | | | 20.0 |
| | What changes have been made since the last survey in the system personnel? (sp. none What changes have been made since the last survey in the system budget? (specincreased due to increased operating costs Are the system's files up-to-date with the latest correspondence on compliance monitoring, plans of the system showing changes made since the last survey, sampling plans, compliance issues, and other management related issues? Has the system established any water quality goals? Does the operators know what the plant goals are? Do operators monitor to assess whether goals are being met and then make any appropriate process control adjustments and measure the results of the adjustments? Does the system have a means of clearly indicating to its own staff who has the responsibility for various functions and who has the authority to make decisions and approve changes to policies, procedures, system operations, and other areas pertinent to treatment plant performance and water supply quality. Are there any short-term and long-term plans that the system is developing and implementing? Does open, effective communication occur between management and personnel? Is the number of personnel adequate to perform the work required? Is there CSOPs for the system? Are there SOPs for the system? Are there SMPs for the system? Are there SMPs for the system? Are these documents readily available to all staff for their use? Are they being implemented? Does the system have a Business Plan to demonstrate its financial and managerial capacity to comply with all drinking water regulations in effect, or | What changes have been made since the last survey in the system personnel? (specify) none What changes have been made since the last survey in the system budget? (specify) increased due to increased operating costs Are the system's files up-to-date with the latest correspondence on compliance monitoring, plans of the system showing changes made since the last survey, sampling plans, compliance issues, and other management related issues? Has the system established any water quality goals? 2.0 Does the operators know what the plant goals are? Do operators monitor to assess whether goals are being met and then make any appropriate process control adjustments and measure the results of the adjustments? Does the system have a means of clearly indicating to its own staff who has the responsibility for various functions and who has the authority to make decisions and approve changes to policies, procedures, system operations, and other areas pertinent to treatment plant performance and water supply quality. Are there any short-term and long-term plans that the system is developing and implementing? Does open, effective communication occur between management and personnel? 1.0 Is there cross-training required of the individuals within the system? 1.0 Is there an O&M manual for the system? 1.0 Are there SMPs for the system? Are these documents readily available to all staff for their use? Are they being implemented? Does the system have a Business Plan to demonstrate its financial and managerial capacity to comply with all drinking water regulations in effect, or | What changes have been made since the last survey in the system personnel? (specify) None | What changes have been made since the last survey in the system personnel? (specify) None What changes have been made since the last survey in the system budget? (specify) Increased due to increased operating costs Are the system's files up-to-date with the latest correspondence on compliance monitoring, plans of the system showing changes made since the last survey, sampling plans, compliance issues, and other management related issues? Has the system established any water quality goals? Does the operators know what the plant goals are? Doe periators monitor to assess whether goals are being met and then make any appropriate process control adjustments and measure the results of the adjustments? Does the system have a means of clearly indicating to its own staff who has the responsibility for various functions and who has the authority to make decisions and approve changes to policies, procedures, system operations, and other areas pertinent to treatment plant performance and water supply quality. Are there any short-term and long-term plans that the system is developing and implementing? Does open, effective communication occur between management and personnel? Is the number of personnel adequate to perform the work required? Is there cross-training required of the individuals within the system? Is there an O&M manual for the system? Are there SMPs for the system? Ar | What changes have been made since the last survey in the system personnel? (specify) None | What changes have been made since the last survey in the system personnel? (specify) None |

Section IX.

OPERATOR COMPLIANCE and PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

| 1 . Does the system employ an operator(s) of the appropriate certification level(s), as specified in state requirements? | 5.0 Y <u>5.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| 2 . Are operator certifications current for all system personnel? | 3.0 Y <u>3.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| Are all personnel meeting the minimum renewal requirements for operator certification? (i.e. continuing education requirements) | 4.0 Y <u>4.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 4 . Are the system personnel adequately trained? | 3.0 Y <u>3.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 5 . Do the operators appear to be well informed about various components of their water system? | 3.0 Y <u>3.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| 6 . Does the system appear to be well operated and maintained? | 2.0 Y <u>2.0</u> N <u>0.0</u> N/A |
| | Sect. Pts: 20.0 |
| | Earned Points 20.0 |

Section X.

EMERGENCY PLAN / SECURITY / SAFETY

| 6 00 | | | • | | | • | 7 | ı |
|-------------|---|-----|----|----------|----------|--------------------|------------|--|
| 1. | Does the system have established emergency procedures? | 0.5 | Υ | 0.5 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 2 . | Are all the system personnel familiar with the emergency plan? | 0.5 | Υ | 0.5 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 3 . | Does the system have a Safety Program? | 0.5 | Y | 0.5 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 4 . | Have the operators been adequately trained in safety procedures and proper handling of all utilized chemicals and materials? | 2.0 | Υ | 2.0 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 5 . | Are operators familiar with the MSDS sheets? | 0.5 | Υ | 0.5 | N | 0.0 | N/A | ······································ |
| 6 . | Does the utility comply with the safety requirements as prescribed by OSHA? | | Υ | | N | | | |
| 7. | Does the utility have a good safety record? | 1.0 | Y | 1.0 | N . | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 8 . | In general, does security at the facilities appear to be adequate? | 1.0 | Y | 1.0 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| _ | A continue to the continue of | 1.0 | v | 4.0 | N.F | 0.0 | AUA | |
| 9. | Are chemicals and supplies stored properly? | | | 1.0 | | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | Are oxidizers, corrosives, and flammables stored in separate areas and in closed, marked containers? | 1.0 | Y | 1.0 | N . | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 40 | Are proper warning signs for "hearing protection" provided at noisy areas? | 0.5 | v | 0.5 | Ν | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 10 . | | | | | | | | |
| | Are proper "high voltage" signs provided where needed? | 0.5 | Υ | | N. | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | In general, are the warning signs provided at the water system facilities adequate? | 1.0 | Υ | 1.0 | N . | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 11 . | Is adequate ventilation provided in necessary areas? | 0.5 | Y | 0.5 | N . | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 12 . | Is adequate safety equipment provided and required? | 0.5 | Y | 0.5 | N . | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 12 | Is a self-contained breathing apparatus available? | 0.5 | Υ | 0.5 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 10 . | Is the breathing apparatus regularly tested? | 1.0 | Y | | N] | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | Ave all ablasive many deeps pooted with warning? | 0.5 | v | ΛE | NE | 0.0 | AUA | |
| 14 . | Are all chlorine room doors posted with warning? | 0.5 | Υ. | 0.5 | N. | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | Do chlorine room doors open outward to outside? | 1.0 | Y | 1.0 | N . | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 15 . | Is the fan in the chlorine room vent to outside? | 0.5 | Υ | 0.5 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | Is the exhaust fan operational? | 1.0 | Ϋ́ | 1.0 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 0.5 | | 0.5 | N . | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | Is the intake located close to the floor? | | • | | - | | • | |
| 16. | Is automatic chlorine leak detector available for the chlorine room? | 0.5 | Υ. | 0.5 | N . | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | Are ammonia bottles provided? | 0.5 | Y | 0.5 | N . | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 17. | Are the chlorine feed and storages isolated from other facilities? | 0.5 | Υ | 0.5 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | Are windows provided to view the chlorine room's interior? | 0.5 | Υ | 0.5 | N | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 12 | Are the chlorine cylinders adequately restrained? | 0.5 | • | 0.5 | - | 0.0 | • | |
| | | | • | | - | | • | |
| 19 . | Are chlorine leak repair kits available? | 0.5 | Υ. | 0.5 | N . | 0.0 | N/A | |
| 20 . | Is there an eye washing station/ safety shower at the water treatment plant? | | Υ. | <u> </u> | N - | | | |
| 21 . | Is there an auxiliary power for the water treatment plant? | 1.0 | Υ. | | N _ | 1.0 | N/A | <u>-</u> |
| 22 . | Is the fire department familiar with the facilities and their contents? | 0.5 | Υ. | 0.5 | N Ita | <i>o.o</i> em # | N/A . 1 | ······································ |
| | | | | Sect. | | | 1 20.5 | |
| | | | | OGUL. | | | | j |

Earned Points 19.5



Utility Committee Meeting

AGENDA

February 2, 2016

| Item: |
|--|
| Approval - Out of State Travel for SGA Conference Department: |
| Additional Information: |
| Financial Impact: |
| Budgeted Item: |
| Recommendation / Request: |
| |

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. Click here to download.

Attachments / click to download

☐ <u>Training Info</u>



To:

Utility Committee

From:

Rodney Middlebrooks, Director of Water & Gas

Department:

Georgia Utility Training Academy

Date:

2/2/16

Description:

Approval is being sought to attend the 14th annual Southern Gas Association (SGA) spring

gas conference and expo in Columbia, SC between the dates of March 14-16, 2016.

Budget Account/Project Name: 555-528-07565-00523-523301

Funding Source: 2016 Budget - Events

Budget Allocation:

\$10,000.00

Budget Available:

\$10,000.00

Background:

Representatives from the City of Monroe, through the Georgia Utility Training Academy (GUTA) have attended this conference in previous years. The conference has allowed GUTA to expand its training opportunities into the Carolina regions by networking with systems from this area. This year, Tommy Arnold has been asked to teach a class on Leak Investigation. Along with providing training at the conference, GUTA will have a presence as a vendor with a booth display for systems to visit and learn more about the opportunities offered by GUTA. The budget allocation has factored in the expense of attending this conference to include registration, booth reservation and travel expenses.

Attachment(s):

Conference Agenda – 14 pages







14th Annual

Spring Gas Conference & Expo

March 14 - 16, 2016 Columbia Metropolitan Convention Center – Columbia, SC

"Developing People, Relationships, Solutions"

Conference Chair

Deana Keever, Piedmont Natural Gas

Conference Web Page

Thank You to Our Sponsors!



Water Bottle Sponsor



Wednesday Breakfast



Tuesday Breakfast



Monday Night Reception



Hotel Key Card Sponsor



Everything DIM Workshop Sponsor



Blue Flame Sponsor

Y CAND & INFRASTRUCTURE

Continental Industries

Blue Flame Sponsor



Tuesday Lunch

This Conference Features

- ✓ Operations and Technical Workshops
- ✓ Professional Development Workshops
- ✓ Sales & Marketing Seminar
- ✓ Over 60 exhibits

How do I Exhibit

Registration is available on the conference web page.

Questions: Joni Pullen (jpullen@southerngas.org; 972-620-4024)

Conference Registration Information

The fees for these sessions vary. This brochure includes pricing and scheduling information for each offering. Please visit the registration page on the SGA website to register.

Hotel Reservations

Hyatt Place Columbia

819 Gervais Street, Columbia, SC 29201

Call (803) 978-2013 (*Ask for Southern Gas Association or SGA Rate) or <u>click here</u> to make a reservation Room Rate: \$146 single & double occupancy

Hilton Columbia Center

924 Senate St, Columbia, SC 29201

Call (803) 744-7800 (*Ask for Southern Gas Association or SGA Rate) or <u>click here</u> to make a reservation Room Rate: \$179 single & double occupancy

Hampton Inn Downtown Columbia

822 Gervais St, Columbia, SC 29201

Call (803) 545-0001 (*Ask for Southern Gas Association or SGA Rate) or <u>click here</u> to make a reservation Room Rate: \$169 single & double occupancy

Parking

- Columbia Convention Center
 - o Free guest parking
- Hyatt Place Columbia
 - o Self-park \$12 per overnight
- Hampton Inn Downtown Historic Columbia
 - O Self-park \$12 per overnight
- Hilton Columbia Center
 - o Valet \$24 per overnight, Self-park \$13 per overnight







2016 Spring Gas Conference & Expo

| | 8:00 to 11:30 am | 11:30 to 1 pm | 1:00 to 4:30 pm | 4:30 to 6:30 pr |
|-------|---|---------------|--|-----------------|
| | IRNOA | | IRNGA | |
| | The Ratemaking Process | | The Ratemaking Process | |
| | LDC Transmission Integrity Roundtable | | LDC Transmission Integrity Roundtable (cont.) | |
| | Gas 101 | | Gas 101 (cont.) | |
| | Women & Leadership | | Women & Leadership | |
| | Leak Investigation and Grading (OFF SITE) | | Leak Investigation and Grading (cont). (OFF SITE) | |
| | GPGA Operators Meeting | | GPGA Spring Board Meeting (12 PM Start) | |
| | | | Locating Methods & Technology | |
| | | | DIMPOLOGY 101 | |
| | | | Get your feet wet in basic instrumentation and SCADA | |
| | | | Basic Service Tech | |
| THEFT | | | Tapping and Stopping (2 hr Mueller/2 hr TDW) - Offsite | |

Tuesday: March 15, 2016 8:00 to 11:30 am 7 to 8 am 11:30 to 1 pm 1:00 to 4:30 pm 4:30 - 6:30 pm Accounting Roundtable Accounting Roundtable Everything DIM Workshop Everything DIM Workshop Sales & Marketing Seminar Sales & Marketing Seminar Gas 201 Gas 201 Inline Inspection Overview (Piggability) Measurement & Meters Fundamentals (hands on) Breakfast with Exhibitors Lunch Reception with Exhibitors Regulator/Relief Valves (hands on) Emergency Response, Liaisoning and Incident Investiation Gathodic Protestion Creative Safety Engagement Work Zone Safety Materials Management, Traceability & Contract Administration Excavation Safety & Competent Person Advanced Service Tech

| 7 to 8 am | 8:00 to 11:30 am | 11:30 to 12:30 pm | 12:30 - to 4:00 pm |
|---------------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Inspecting the Installation of Pipelines | 優 | Welding Inspection |
| | Control Room Management | | Locating Methods & Technology |
| reakfast with | Everything DIM Workshop | | Abnormal Operating Conditions |
| Exhibitors | Gas 201 | | Gas 201 (cont.) |
| | Sales & Marketing Seminar | | |



Spring Gas Conference & Expo

March 14 - 16, 2016

Program Outline

Abnormal Operating Conditions Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150 Wednesday, March 16 (12:30 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.)

Instructor: Columbia Gas of VA Employee

Description: Abnormal Operating Conditions - Recognition and evaluation of your system. Born out of a settlement order with the Virginia State Commission, NiSource will tell of their journey to develop an Abnormal Operating Conditions Program that has increased the effectiveness of identifying needs across their system on above ground facilities.

Accounting Roundtable Member: \$200/ Non-Member: \$300 Tuesday, March 15 (8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.)

- 8:30 Welcome and Introductions Quynh Bowman, Piedmont Natural Gas & Charlie Evans, Liberty Utilities
- Topics:
 - 8:45 Deloitte Update with Q&A -
 - 9:45 Operations side of business speaker
 - 10:15 Break
 - 10:30 Accounting Update Raul Pina, PwC, AGA Accounting Fellow
 - 11:30 Networking Activity Quynh Bowman, Piedmont Natural Gas
 - 12:00 Lunch
 - 1:00 Panel Discussion Fixed Assets & Depreciation Studies
 - 2:00 Break
 - 2:15 Industry Update
 - 3:15 Open Discussions.
- 4:00 Adjourn

Cathodic Protection Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150 Tuesday, March 15 (1:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.)

Instructor: Travis LeFever (Team Dynamics)

- Stray Current Interference
 - Stray Current
 - Definition of Interference
 - **Definition of Stray Current**
 - Sources
 - Types of Stray Current
- Installing CP Components
 - Test Stations Handley Industries
 - Isolation
 - Casing Installation
 - Impressed and Galvanic Systems
- Troubleshooting CP Systems
 - Troubleshooting
 - **Electrical Isolation**
 - **Short Location**
 - **Casing Shorts**

Control Room Management Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150

Wednesday, March 16 (8:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.)

Instructor: Brad Holbrook (Piedmont Natural Gas)

This session will expose the "Hidden Gems" of the Control Room Management rule 49 CFR Parts 192 and 195. How this rule has evolved over the past 5 years. How this rule has impacted companies and how the scope of the rule has migrated to touch far more company resources than originally understood. You will leave this session with a greater understanding of the impacts of the CRM rule in the mature state it currently exists and understand the scope of stakeholders which need to be included and are ultimately responsible for compliance.

CPGA Operators Meeting Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150

Monday, March 14 (8:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.)

Carolinas Public Gas Association's Spring Meeting, this session is open to CPGA system and associate members only

CPGA Spring Board Meeting Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150

Monday, March 14 (12:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.)

Carolinas Public Gas Association's Spring Meeting, this session is open to CPGA system and associate members only.

Creative Safety Engagement Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150

Tuesday, March 15 (1:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.)

Instructor: ERM and the Learning Factory

Participants will experience programs to help create an engaging and effective safety environment in the field in order to increase safety. Presenters will share best practices on creating engaging safety meetings where employees see the value of learning, sharing and deploying healthy safety behaviors. This interactive session will show participants how to hold meaningful field conversations and hold an engaging safety meeting topic with the audience in the room. The new confined space rule will be presented in an interactive way equiping attendees to facilitate similar meetings where audiences connect the impacts of safety requirements to themselves.

<u>DIMPOLOGY 101: The Distribution Integrity Rule & It's Impact</u> Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150 *Monday, March 14 (1:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.)*

Instructor: Chris McLaren (PHMSA), Connie Waterman (Nicor) & Lauren Basham (Virginia Natural Gas)

Who decided to do DIMP? Chris McLaren with PHMSA will offer important history and/or incidents throughout the nation that triggered SubPart P and how operators will be evaluated in their compliance with DIMP using Form 24. In addition, participants will experience the seven elements of DIMP and their impact on field operations with a discussion lead by representatives from Nicor Gas and Virginia Natural Gas.

Emergency Response, Liaisoning and Incident Investigation Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150 Tuesday, March 15 (8:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.)

Instructor: Glen Boatwright (York Country Natural Gas) & Tom Burruss (Burruss Consulting)

This session will cover key concepts related to effective response to pipeline emergencies. Topics will include an overview of the Incident Command System, effective scene size-up, and tactical decision making. The session will also include case studies of actual pipeline incidents as well as recommendations for coordination with public sector responders.

Effective liaison with public sector responders is a key element of a sound pipeline public awareness program. This session will include recommendations for proactive programs to enhance coordination with those key individuals that will respond in the event of a pipeline emergency. Included in the program will be an overview of various state initiatives related to enhancing coordination with emergency responders.

There are steps to be taken during the initial phase of a pipeline related incident investigation that are critical in determining the root cause. This portion of the session will cover elements that should be addressed such as effective evidence discovery and protection, leak survey patterns, and investigation coordination with public officials. Case studies will be used to enhance learning objectives.

Everything DIM Workshop Member: \$395/ Non-member: \$595

Tuesday, March 15 (8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.) and Wednesday, March 16 (8:00 a.m. to 11:30 p.m.)

- ✓ Identifying Threats
 - o What threat related field data are you currently collecting?
 - o Threat Examples
 - National Level Threats/Incidents
 - DIMP Committee Identified Threats
 - Unique Events to consider as Threats
 - Would your current data collection processes expose these Threat Examples?
 - The possibilities of developing a shared "Threat Reference Database"
- ✓ Regulatory Update
 - o DOT Regulatory Update
 - o Form 24 Implementation Audits & PHMSA Feedback
 - o Mechanical Fitting Failure Reporting
 - Q/A Session
- ✓ Graphical Risk Modeling
 - o Methods
 - o Creation/Development
 - Templates
 - Considerations
 - Managing Data for your Model
 - o Evaluation of Model Results
 - Subdividing
 - Targeting Threat Areas
 - Likelihood of Failure (LoF)
 - Consequence of Failure (CoF)
 - o Using the Risk Information in DIMP
- ✓ QA/QC Programs
 - QA/QC Inspection Overview
 - o Technology used to Capture Data (DEMO)
 - o Types of Inspections Completed (e.g. safety, construction, leakage, service, etc.)
 - Data Reports
 - o Communication
 - o Remediation
- ✓ Safety Culture RP 1173
 - o What is Safety Culture?
 - Why is it important to have a "Culture of Safety"?
- ✓ Open Roundtable
 - DOT Annual Reports 2015 Changes
 - Mechanical Fittings What are we seeing?
 - o DIM Workshop Sessions Follow Up Discussions and Q/A Time

Excavation Safety and Competent Person Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150

Tuesday, March 15 (1:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.)

Instructor: Thom Wilson & Milfred Brock, Sr. (Piedmont Natural Gas Technical Trainers)

This in-depth course is designed to familiarize the student with OSHA Regulation 1926. Topics include job planning, locating underground utilities, soil classification, testing for hazardous atmosphere, proper sloping, shielding, and equipment inspections and recognizing any potential hazards.

- ✓ To understand the serious nature of excavation hazards.
- ✓ To provide a review of basic concepts of soil mechanics.
- ✓ To understand OSHA general excavation requirement.
- ✓ To review various means of soil analysis.
- ✓ To review options for sloping or shoring specific soil type.
- ✓ To understand the duties of the excavation competent person.
- ✓ To understand the potential for hazardous atmospheres in excavation.

Gas 101 Member: \$200/ Non-Member: \$300 Monday, March 14 (8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.)

Instructor: EYSCO & Magnolia River

Students will leave this class with a thorough understanding of a utility's natural gas distribution system. Initially conceptualized as an explanation of the system for LDC supply chain employees, the course has been expanded to meet the needs of anyone who would benefit from a basic understanding of how the system is constructed, maintained and operated.

Course content will include an overview of the many physical components and work activities used in construction and operation of a local distribution system:

- ✓ Section I: How Does the Natural Gas Delivery System Work?
 - o A Brief History of Natural Gas
 - Gathering Systems
 - o Transmission Systems
 - o Compressor Stations
 - o Linepack
 - Gate Stations
 - o The Distribution System
 - Moving Natural Gas Into the Home
- ✓ Section II: The Components & Systems of a Natural Gas Transmission and Distribution
 - Components & Systems Overview
 - Steel Pipe
 - o Pipe Coating
 - Pipe SMYS and Hydrotesting
 - o Polyethylene Pipe
 - Fittings (Steel & Polyethylene)
 - o Valves
 - o Meters
 - Regulators
 - o Meter & Regulator Sets
 - Stations M&R, Gate, Border
 - o Odorizers Gas Conditioning
 - o Launcher/Receiver Facilities
 - o Purging & Pigging
 - Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD)
 - Tapping & Stopping
 - o Cathodic Protection
 - AC Mitigation

- Filters & Strainers
- Gas Instruments

Gas 201: Distribution System Design for System Expansion and Reinforcement

Member: \$395/ Non-Member: \$595

Tuesday, March 15 (8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.) & Wednesday, March 16 (8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.)

Instructor: EYSCO & Magnolia River

This workshop takes the student through the system design process and will provide opportunities for hands on application of the concepts being presented. An excellent starting point for the new engineering professional or a refresher for the more seasoned employee. Course content will include the following:

- ✓ System Modeling + Planning
 - Load Requirements for Expansion & System Reinforcement
 - o Line + equipment Sizing
 - Project determinations:
 - Facility:
 - · Flow requirements
 - Pressure requirements
 - Control requirements
 - Pipeline:
 - Route Determination
 - Constructability review
 - · Prelim cost estimate
- ✓ Project Design
 - o Environmental Due Diligence
 - Permitting & Right-of-Way requirements
 - o Survey/Data collection
 - Facilities Design (M&R Stations)
 - P&ID
 - Long Lead Materials Procurement
 - Civil/Site Design
 - Mechanical Design
 - SCADA/Electrical considerations
 - o Pipeline Design
 - Construction method
 - Environmental Design
 - Geotechnical evaluations (as appropriate)
 - Prepare construction plans
 - Tie-in Design
 - Appurtenance design (block valves, isolation valves, etc)
 - · CP/AC mitigation design
 - Easement, work space documentation, permit preparation
 - Bid documents prepared
- ✓ Project Execution
 - o Material procurement
 - o Permitting
 - Land acquisition
 - o Construction/Inspection
 - o As-builts
 - o Project Close-Out

Get Your Feet Wet in Basic Instrumentation and SCADA Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150 Monday, March 14 (1:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.)

Instructor: TBD

Description: TBD

Horizontal Directional Drilling Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150

Tuesday, March 15 (1:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.)

Instructor(s): Bill

Billy Cleveland, Delta Directional Drilling, LLC Robert McCrary, Delta Directional Drilling, LLC

Why use HDD? How does it work?

- √ Feasibility
 - o Discuss issues that impact successful HDD construction.
 - o Consider what makes HDD impractical or just challenging.
 - o Brief coverage of geotechnical conditions and their impact on HDD construction.
- Discuss the basic components of a horizontal directional drilling system and the various stages of HDD (e.g., pilot hole, ream, and pullback).
 - o Overview of various drill rigs and drilling fluid systems
- ✓ Construction monitoring & inspection
 - o Will cover procedures for monitoring and inspection of HDD construction.
 - Discussions will cover some basic field calculations to help verify the surveying data, key drilling practices, and key items to watch for in the field that often lead to problems.

Infine Inspection Overview (Piggability) Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150

Tuesday, March 15 (8:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.)

Instructor: Mark Mattox (Greenes Energy)

Agenda topics will include, but are not limited to:

- Determining piggability, a discussion around records research and field verification to ensure pigs will pass through a pipeline
- ✓ Considerations when setting Above Ground Markers (AGMS)
- ✓ Cleaning process and considerations to make when cleaning in-service pipelines and waste disposal
- ✓ Tracking cleaning tools, caliper and MFL tools and finding inspection tools in the event they become stationary
- Methods and processes for running inspection tools and considerations to make when running inspection tools on live lines with multiple feeds/taps
- ✓ Lessons learned

Inspecting the Installation of Pipelines Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150

Wednesday, March 16 (8:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.)

Instructor: Larry Dodson (LG&E-KU)

Topics covered include:

- ✓ Processes prior to construction
- ✓ Safety on the job
- ✓ Priority management and documentation
- ✓ Environmental concerns
- ✓ Pre-project planning
- ✓ Material handling
- ✓ OQ compliance

- ✓ Proper installation procedures
- ✓ Quality control

Introduction to Regulated Natural Gas Accounting Member: \$395/ Non-Member: \$595 Monday, March 14 (8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.)

Instructor: Jim Mayhew (MCR Group)

This course provides an overview of various functions related to gas industry accounting. It covers key elements, explores the relationship between various departments and how interaction and accurate communication between business units is critical for success.

<u>Leak Investigation and Grading</u> Member: \$215/ Non-Member: \$315 *Monday, March 14 (8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.)*

This hands on class will be offerece offsite, at SCANA's training center. Transportation is on your own.

Instructor: Tommy Arnold, Georgia Utility Training Academy

Course Description: This course designed by the APGA 's Security and Integrity Foundation will include an afternoon of classroom instruction followed the next morning by hands on evaluation leading to Operator Qualification. The following tasks will be covered:

- ✓ Inside Gas Leak Investigation
- ✓ Outside Gas Leak Investigation
- ✓ Walking Gas Leakage Survey

Locating Methods & Technology Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150

This Class will be offered twice, please pick one.

Monday, March 14 (1:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.)

Wednesday, March 16 (12:30 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.)

Instructor: Ken Hardwick (USIC)

Description: How to mitigate difficult to locate facilities and AOC's, new technology and methods/techniques to use based on situations.

<u>Materials Management, Traceability & Contract Administration</u> Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150 *Tuesday, March 15 (8:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.)*

Instructors: Alcia Farag (LocusView), Dennis Ivey (Piedmont Natural Gas), Karen Lively (Performance Pipe) & Barry Timmerman (SCANA)

Locus View Solutions, a Chicago-based subsidiary of the Gas Technology Institute (GTI), provides mobile and GIS solutions to the natural gas industry. Their technology is the result of industry-funded programs to develop next generation tools to ensure regulatory compliance, improve integrity and public safety, and reduce O&M costs. They bring deep industry knowledge of codes, standards, and industry practices to provide comprehensive solutions for our clients.

Manufacturers Perspective -- Karen Lively, Technical Manager at Performance Pipe (tentative)

Case Study #1 - Dennis Ivey, Operations Manager at Piedmont Natural Gas

Case Study #2 - Barry Timmerman, Division Engineer at SCANA

We will cover a broad overview on the topic with a focus on barcoding and data capture technology. Get a deeper understanding of the new ATSM Standards and discuss challenges and lessons learned through real life case studies by Piedmont Natural Gas and SCANA. Performance Pipe will also report on their experience with traceability from a manufacturer's perspective.

Measurement and Meters Fundamentals Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150

Tuesday, March 15 (1:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.)

Instructors:

Diaphragm = American = Bob Bennett/Elster

Rotary = Dresser Roots = Mark Holland/Bartlett Controls

Turbine = Sensus = Paul Honchar/Sensus Ultrasonic = Sick = Dave Manfroni/Marie Co.

- ✓ Basic Gas Laws
- ✓ Hands-on
 - o Group A Diaphragm meters
 - Group B Rotary meters
 - o Group C Turbine meters
 - o Group D Ultrasonic meter
- √ Hands-on
 - o Group A Rotary meters
 - Group B Turbine meters
 - o Group C Ultrasonic meters
 - o Group D Diaphragm meters
- ✓ Hands-on
 - o Group A Turbine meters
 - o Group B Ultrasonic meters
 - o Group C Diaphragm meters
 - o Group D -- Rotary meters
- ✓ Hands-0n
 - o Group A Ultrasonic meters
 - o Group B Diaphragm meters
 - o Group C Rotary meters
 - o Group D Turbine meters
- ✓ Questions, Meter Selection Example, and Review

The Ratemaking Process Member: \$395/ Non-member: \$595

Monday, March 14 (8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.)

Instructor: Mark Caudill (MCR Group)

The first day provides information on the basic components of the rate process from the pipeline and LDC perspective, including fundamentals of the ratemaking process such as:

- ✓ Rate of return issues
- ✓ Mock rate case exercises
- ✓ Revenue requirements
- ✓ Cost of service issues
- ✓ Rate design components
- ✓ Regulatory considerations
- ✓ Future trends in ratemaking

The day concludes with an interactive group exercise, the Van Go Transportation Company, which illustrates issues companies face when structuring their rates and determining if services are fixed, variable or both.

The second part of the program provides an opportunity for participants to apply the information they received the previous day in a series of case studies and role playing exercises. The Ratemaking Game - a set of case studies based on actual rate cases - divides participants into role-playing groups comprised of gas company representatives, commissioners, consumer advocates and interveners.

Regulator/ Relief Valves Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150

Tuesday, March 15 (8:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.)

Instructors: Bob Bennet (Elster Amco), Manufacturer - American Regulators

Mark Holland (Bartlett Controls), Manufacturer - Mooney

Kyle Richard and Dan Fisher (RE Mason), Manufacturer - Fisher

Maintenance/ Troubleshooting of the Regulators/ Reliefs. We will have representatives from Fisher, Mooney, and American. Each manufacturing representative will demonstrate how to take apart and put back together the regulators selected for the training. As this is completed the presenter will cover basic operational functions/features and troubleshooting concepts. The class will be divided into 3 groups and the time of the class divided into 3 as well. Each group will spend 1/3 of the time with each manufacturing representative and then rotate to the next area. The manufacturing representative will perform most of the hands-on, but class participants will be able to participate and ask questions in the small group setting. We encourage questions, sharing of experiences to get the most out of the class.

Sales and Marketing Seminar Member: \$295/ Non-member: \$445

Tuesday, March 15 (8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.) and Wednesday, March 16 (8:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.)

Roundtable Discussion Time

Join approximately 40 natural gas sales and marketing supervisors and representatives (commercial and residential) for 1 ½ days of presentations, open roundtable discussion and networking.

Service Tech - Advanced Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150

Tuesday, March 15 (8:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.)

Instructor: Jim Potter (Technical Training Consultant)

- ✓ Chemistry for Combustion, Primary, Secondary & Make-Up Air
- ✓ Gas Burners, Design & Operation
- ✓ Venting Problems, Back Draft, Blocked, Sizing, Diverter Placement, Design Problems, Excess Fittings, Height, Vertical & Lateral runs
- ✓ Types of Appliance Controls and Their Functions
- ✓ Utilizing the NFPA 54 Handbook

Service Tech - Basic Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150

Monday, March 14 (1:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.)

Instructor: Jim Potter (Technical Training Consultant)

- ✓ Fundamentals of gas combustion
- ✓ Properties & characteristics of natural gas
- ✓ Carbon monoxide
- ✓ AGA video "Fundamentals Of Venting"
- ✓ Types of vents A, B, BW, L, high temp plastic, PVC
- ✓ Installing new meter sets
- ✓ Testing house lines, setting service regulators, H-2 regulator installation
- ✓ Dress, speech, attitude, handling unsafe situations

<u>Tapping and Stopping</u> Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150 *Monday, March 14 (1:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.) - OFFSITE*

This hands on class will be offerece offsite, at SCANA's training center. Transportation is on your own.

Instructors: TBD

- ✓ Hot Tapping and Plugging (HT&P) overview
- ✓ Low pressure applications < 150 psi with Hands-on equipment training.
 </p>
- ✓ High pressure applications up to 1480 psi

<u>Transmission Integrity Roundtable – LDC</u> Member: \$245/ Non-Member: \$380

Monday, March 14 (8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.)

Instructor: TBD Description: TBD

Valve School Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150

Tuesday, March 15 (1:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.)

Instructor: Wally Todd (Ed Young Sales Co.)

Due to the shortened length of the class, we will focus on the plug valves most commonly found in gas distribution.

- ✓ Plug valves
 - · Design and function
 - · 3 Different styles / types
 - · Commonalities / difference
 - Adjustments
 - Maintenance
 - · Flushing vs greasing
 - Reading the gun gauge
 - Troubleshooting
 - Internal vs external common problems
 - · Tools and grease guns to use

This will take all 3.5 hrs to include introduction, a break and Q&A

Welding Inspection Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150

Wednesday, March 16 (12:30 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.)

Instructor: Perry Vezina, SM&E

This course is suitable for welders, operators, line inspectors and foremen who want to learn about visual examination of welded joints.

This welding inspection course covers:

- ✓ Visual inspection procedures
- ✓ Abnormal working condition
- ✓ Safety
- ✓ Typical welding defects
- ✓ Compliance

Women & Leadership Member: \$200/ Non-Member: \$295

Monday, March 14 (8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.)

Agenda topics will include, but are not limited to:

- ✓ Work Life Balance
- ✓ The Power of Nice
- ✓ Networking Tips
- ✓ Product Demo

Work Zone Safety Member: \$100/ Non-Member: \$150

Tuesday, March 15 (8:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.)

Instructor: TBD

This course provides instructions on the proper flagging and barricading procedures for street and highway construction.

- ✓ The importance of Planning
- ✓ Traffic control devices
- ✓ Traffic Control zones
- ✓ Hands on work zone Drawings
- ✓ Flagging
 - o Characteristics of a good flagger
 - o Standard Signals
 - o Communicating with Public
 - o Flagger operations